

## **PUBLIC**

**MINUTES** of a meeting of the **IMPROVEMENT AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE** held at County Hall, Matlock on 7 July 2014.

### **P R E S E N T**

Councillor C R Moesby (In the Chair)

Councillors S A Bambrick, K P Morgan, S A Spencer and Mrs M Stockdale.

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Mrs D Charles, Mrs K D Lauro and B Lewis.

**07/14**      **MINUTES**    **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 23 April 2014 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Arising on the Minutes, the Chair referred to the suggestion that the process for submitting review proposals should be simplified and it was his view that the current arrangements afforded a clear audit trail and that, at the moment, there should be no change to the process. This would, however, be kept under review. Also, at the recent Health stakeholder event, it had been stressed that we were working towards improving communications and this theme could be incorporated into the review of the effectiveness of the Health and Wellbeing Board arrangement.

**08/14**      **ANNUAL REPORT**      The draft Improvement and Scrutiny Annual Report 2013/14 was presented for comment. This report would be presented to Council on 1 October 2014.

Members made some suggestions to wording and these would be considered for incorporation into the final draft.

**RESOLVED** that the report be submitted to Council.

**09/14**      **SCRUTINY REVIEWS PROGRESS SUMMARY**

A schedule showing progress on current reviews was presented. This would be updated for each meeting and, in future, would incorporate membership details for the working groups and review start dates.

**RESOLVED** that the summary be noted.

**10/14**      **FURTHER POTENTIAL SCRUTINY REVIEWS**

The Committee considered a list of proposed scrutiny review subjects at its last meeting. The proposals were referred to the appropriate Committees for

agreement and prioritisation and were now included in the work programme of each Committee. There were a number of review proposals submitted which were not included on the original list and these were now reported.

There were various reasons for delaying consideration of these, for example, the need for more clarification from the proposer of their possible outcomes/benefits or to ensure there was no duplication of work where services were currently being re-shaped.

**RESOLVED** that the information be received.