

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

CABINET

5th March 2013

Report of the Strategic Director for Children & Younger Adults

YOUTH BUILDINGS REVIEW – (Young People)

1. Purpose of Report

To inform Cabinet on the outcome of the review of youth buildings, following the endorsement of the New Youth Offer in June 2012.

To seek Cabinet approval for the recommended future use of youth buildings.

2. Information and Analysis

2.1 Background

In September 2011, Corporate Property completed a review of youth centre premises and reported that the youth provision currently provides a service from 29 buildings across the County; which vary in quality and suitability.

Corporate Property's review focused on the condition of the buildings and the management information relating to the buildings' use and also running costs obtained from the Council's financial systems.

2.2 Decision Making Process

On 12th June 2012, Cabinet agreed the adoption of the New Youth Offer to develop services for young people aged 13-19 years and up to 25 years for those with learning difficulties.

Cabinet agreed that whenever possible the Council transfers responsibility for buildings used for Council-run youth work to a local Voluntary, Community and Independent sector organisation (VCI), retaining accommodation space for youth activity where necessary. Cabinet agreed that, as a default position, the Council retains responsibility for the building if a transfer cannot be achieved.

To deliver the vision for the New Youth Offer, it was agreed that further analysis needed to be undertaken to review the youth buildings. This review set out to ensure that the buildings in the Youth Service portfolio are fit for purpose, in the right places for the delivery of activities, meet the needs of the young people and are utilised to their maximum potential.

The review also considered the principles set out in the Changing the Way Derbyshire Works programme by looking at all opportunities to integrate use of Council buildings.

The review was conducted in two discrete stages. The first stage assessed each of the 29 buildings against a range of factors, including condition, current and potential future use, and opportunity for community transfer. Any buildings not identified as fit for purpose in the first stage review were considered for potential alternative options with regard to costs, conditions, usage and suitability for transfer.

During October/November 2012, stakeholder consultations were undertaken to seek local views on the future use of premises used to deliver youth activities. Stakeholders included Elected Members, young people, Parish Councillors and building users.

2.3 The outcome of the review

The review concluded that a total complement of 22 buildings are recommended for retention for youth and wider community use.

The review found that there is a disproportionate amount of cleaning and caretaking time allocated to some buildings compared to building use. The Service Level Agreement for the cleaning and caretaking of buildings was established in 2002 and has not been subject to a review since. Building use has changed over the last 10 years, yet the cleaning and caretaking of the buildings have remained the same. This has resulted in approximately 30,498 hours of cleaning and caretaking time for approximately 10,140 hours of youth service delivery time. Although, a small proportion of community use is included, the expenditure falls on the youth budget which must be addressed.

Expressions of interest in Community Asset Transfer (CAT) were expressed by several VCI organisations during the youth consultation held in January to March 2012. Further expressions of interest were made during the second round of stakeholder consultation and, with support from Derbyshire County Council, it is envisaged that these

premises could be successfully managed by the Voluntary Sector. These buildings would be retained for community use and would include times for running youth activities. The recommendations following the outcome of the review were as follows:

- At stage one, 11 buildings were identified as fit for purpose with no viable alternative (see table 1, section 1 below) and so, subject to efficiency savings being achieved through the renegotiation of premises costs (cleaning and caretaking) and an increase in the income generated, they would remain part of the portfolio;
- Informed by the stage 2 stakeholder consultation, a further 11 buildings would be retained, subject to a 50% cost reduction in cleaning and caretaking expenditure (Appendix 1);
- 3 buildings be transferred to Corporate Property Services in order to arrange transfers through the CAT process (Appendix 2);
- 4 buildings be declared surplus to requirements and referred to Corporate Property for disposal (Appendix 2).

As per the commitment set out in the Cabinet paper in June 2012, no building will close without alternatives being in place and no youth activity will be adversely affected by the changes in building usage, as indicated in Appendices 1 and 2. Where necessary, suitable alternative venues will be identified and projects re-settled into alternative space prior to building changes being undertaken.

Table 1: Summary of recommendations

1) Building identified as fit for purpose with no viable alternative to remain part of the portfolio subject to efficiency savings achieved through re-negotiating the cleaning and caretaking costs and increasing income.
Buxton for Youth Centre, Buxton
Cantelupe Youth Centre, Ilkeston
Clay Cross Youth Centre, Clay Cross
Creswell Youth Centre, Creswell
Fairfield Youth Centre, Buxton
Hasland Youth Centre, Hasland
Long Eaton Youth Centre, Long Eaton
Peter Webster Centre, Whittington Moor, Chesterfield
Polygon Centre, Alfreton
Tupton Youth Centre, Tupton
Woodville Youth Centre, Swadlincote
2) Buildings viable for retention following stakeholder consultation to be subject to a 50% reduction in caretaking and cleaning costs and increase in income

Eckington Youth Centre, Eckington
Gamesley Youth Centre, Hadfield
Hadfield Youth Centre, Hadfield
Hathersage Youth Club, Hathersage
Chapel Youth Centre, Chapel-en-le-Frith
Ironville Youth Centre, Ironville
Killamarsh Youth Centre, Killamarsh
New Mills Youth Centre, New Mills
Pinxton Youth Centre, Pinxton
Somercotes Youth Centre, Somercotes
Whitfield Youth Centre, Whitfield
3)Buildings to be transferred to Corporate Property for community asset transfer
Chinley Youth Centre
Gosforth Youth Centre
Ockbrook and Borrowash Youth Centre
4)Property declared surplus to requirements and to be referred to Corporate Property for disposal
Aldercar Youth Centre
Talking Hedz Youth Centre
Gladys Buxton Centre (existing youth building)
Heanorgate Youth Centre

A more detailed analysis is available on the buildings identified in recommendations 2, 3, 4, (above) including stakeholder feedback, in Appendices 1 and 2.

2.4 Income Generation and Refurbishment

It is recommended that the use of the 22 retained youth buildings is maximised to make them more cost effective. Current building usage to deliver youth activities varies between buildings. Youth buildings are mainly used in the evenings and as a result are often unused for youth activities during the day. Buildings are rarely used at weekends. Availability of buildings needs to be identified and advertised for community use. The possibility of other Council services using the buildings also needs to be explored.

Any lettings to community groups need to be in line with a Corporate Lettings Policy to be updated by Corporate Property. The income currently generated currently equates to 11% of the gross expenditure.

It is proposed that the existing Gladys Buxton Youth Centre be demolished and two rooms on the main Gladys Buxton Centre be

refurbished into multi-purpose rooms to accommodate youth activities. A subsequent paper will be submitted detailing refurbishment proposals and costs.

It is proposed that consideration be given to the rebranding of youth centre buildings. During the stakeholder consultation exercises, stakeholders reported that the title of 'youth' buildings was often off-putting to other community groups as venues for use. It was suggested that buildings should be given a more neutral title or include the word 'community' to expand local ownership of this building.

3. Financial Considerations

The building costs included in the review were based on the 2011/12 expenditure (controllable expenditure incurred by Children and Younger Adults and non-controllable expenditure from other corporate budgets) as detailed in Appendix 3.

Corporate Property Services has advised that the total revenue saving to the Council that could be generated by disposal of the four recommended properties and the transfer of the three properties recommended for community transfer would be in the region of £80,000. Additional savings resulting from the review of caretaking and cleaning contracts and increasing income will augment total revenue savings to circa £250,000 per annum.

The cost of cleaning and caretaking for each Youth Centre is detailed in Appendix 4.

Corporate Property Services has advised that three of the four properties recommended for disposal are held leasehold and therefore will not generate a capital receipt. The potential disposal of the freehold property recommended for disposal (Aldercar Youth Centre), would if achieved generate a capital receipt in the region of £40,000.

4. Human Resources Considerations

It is proposed that the required hours for cleaning and caretaking would be renegotiated between Children and Younger Adults and Corporate Property in line with the Service Level Agreement, through the Authority's usual processes.

In the event that there is a surplus of caretaking and cleaning hours that cannot be effectively deployed within the council, then the Authority's Redundancy, Redeployment, Protection of Earnings and Buy Out of Hours Policies will be applied subject to further Cabinet approval.

5. Legal Considerations

The terms of any transfer of any of the properties referred to in the report, and the surrender of any existing leases, will be subject to the approval of the Director of Legal Services and the Director of Property.

In preparing this report, the relevance of the following factors has been considered: Prevention of Crime & Disorder; Equality of Opportunity; Health; Legal & Human Rights; Environmental; Property and Transport Considerations.

6. Background Papers

- 6.1 Corporate Property Service Property Review on Youth Centres—September 2011.
- 6.2 New Youth Offer Cabinet report – 12th June 2012.
- 6.3 New Youth Offer – Summer Activities Cabinet report – 6th November 2012.

7. Key Decision

Yes.

8. Is it required that Call-in be waived in respect of the decisions being proposed in this report?

No.

9. Strategic Director's Recommendation

That Cabinet approves the following recommendations:

- 9.1 Retention of 22 youth buildings (reduction of 24%);
- 9.2 Three buildings referred to Corporate Property for CAT process;
- 9.3 Four buildings be declared surplus to requirements and referred to Corporate Property for disposal;
- 9.4 Cleaning and caretaking contracts to be renegotiated;
- 9.5 Income generation targets to be set and re-branding of buildings for the retained youth centres to promote better use of assets;

- 9.6 Approval in principle for Gladys Buxton Youth Centre to be demolished and refurbishment of two rooms in the main centre, accommodating youth and other community activities (to be subject to a further Cabinet report for consideration).

Ian Thomas
Strategic Director for Children & Younger Adults

Appendix 1

Buildings to be Retained following Consultation

Chapel Youth Centre, High Peak

There are limited building facilities in Chapel and this building could be advertised more and put to much better use. The 'DCC youth centre' title can put off other community groups using it, so renaming the building as a community venue might encourage more user groups. Stakeholders felt that a lot of work could feed out of the building into the local community and therefore there was community value to the building.

There is a lot of space in the daytime where the building could be put to better use but currently nothing is done to market the venue. Therefore, the building had the potential to generate a lot more income that it does.

There is parking at the building and it is fully accessible.

The building is considered to be a good venue to work with young people and is a young people owned space. There are very few opportunities to relocate young people's activities to other venues in the community.

Both the Cadets and Revival Church want to remain as stakeholders and would commit to using the building in the future.

Revival Church is not in the position to consider Community Asset Transfer as they have only used the building for a relatively short space of time. However, they would not rule this out as a possible future consideration.

Eckington Youth Centre

The building is used to deliver youth activities three evenings per week, by UFI (youth forum) one evening per week and by a voluntary group for one evening per week. The building is in need of some repair internally and externally, which needs to be fully assessed.

Local stakeholders were keen to retain Eckington as a Community facility. There may be options to explore the establishment of a committee to manage this and the Community Asset Transfer of the property in the future.

The building is used very little in the daytime and weekends and there is scope for generating income through lettings to other organisations.

Gamesley Youth Centre, High Peak

There is clearly a strong community feeling towards this building and an eagerness that it should be kept open and continue to offer services.

There was a commitment to contribute towards the costs of running the building, but stakeholders were reluctant that they should pay regular lettings costs; preferring to do occasional fundraising activities for the centre.

The building needs to maximise use after 5pm.

Office space is currently used as storage. This could be maximised and let out as office space on a lease basis.

There have been issues in the past around CAT, and previous attempts by other organisations to take on management of the building have proved unsuccessful, partly down to the changing financial climate.

The MAT offer a lot of services from the building and this is a much needed delivery space to support their work in the community.

Hadfield Youth Centre, High Peak

Stakeholders were keen that they should be able to continue using the building, and paying letting fees for the building was not an issue. However, stakeholders who attended the meeting did not want any further responsibility for the building.

The lettings charges need to be revisited to ensure they are current and groups are being charged the correct rate.

There is room to increase usage of the building, especially during the day time and at weekends. One suggestion was to let out office space. This would require some initial work to develop the space to be suitable.

There are few community venues in Glossopdale, and especially Hadfield, and this building was originally built as a community centre. Through the stakeholder meeting there was an interest that it should return to being a community centre, but there would be issues around funding and management of it as a community resource.

Hathersage Youth Club, High Peak

The rent that DCC currently pays maintains the building as a young people's space and gives DCC the right to use it whenever needed for work with young people, which is currently one night per week due to staffing availability.

The Voluntary Management Committee maintains ownership and running costs of the building, and carries out any maintenance as required. The Management Committee are keen that the youth club stays in the building and currently offer a reduced rate rent which just about covers the costs of running the building.

Young people clearly feel a strong sense of ownership of the building and there was evidence of their involvement and participation in different projects. The young people are currently working on a project to have a skate park in Hathersage and are well supported by the rest of the community.

This is a good venue for delivering youth activities and is a specific young people's space, proving to be good value for money.

It was agreed at the stakeholder meeting that the rent paid by DCC would mean that voluntary organisations working with young people would not have to pay the Management Committee to use the youth building, therefore maximising the use of the building whilst supporting VCI youth provision.

Ironville Youth Centre (Railway Carriage), Amber Valley

DCC leases the railway carriage off the Community Association, whilst Amber Valley Borough Council owns the land this is sited on. The Carriage was sited about 15 years ago as a short term measure and has remained on site ever since.

The Carriage is next to the five-a-side court and is the only building in the local community which will accommodate the youth club.

The Scouts have funding and run sessions from the Church Hall. They may consider using the youth centre in the future if this were available.

The long term vision is for the Railway Carriage to become the front to a new build community centre, but in the current economic climate this is potentially an unrealistic aspiration.

Anecdotal evidence suggests there is a reduction in anti-social behaviour when the Youth Centre is open, and young people feel a strong sense of ownership of the Youth Centre.

Killamarsh Youth Centre, North East

This is the only youth club in the community and is sited on the community complex next to the library and Leisure Centre. The skate park is at the rear of the centre and is a very well used resource.

Anti-social behaviour is a key issue in Killamarsh and there is evidence to suggest that calls for service greatly reduce when the youth club is open.

There is close multi-agency working in the community and a willingness to increase activities for young people. The building is a valuable resource and the only suitable space in Killamarsh.

There is a lot of availability during the day time which could be promoted and used to generate income.

New Mills Youth Centre, High Peak

There is opportunity to make more use of the centre in the day time for lettings groups. The location of the building has previously been a barrier to use by some groups. However, with greater publicity and promotion building use could be expanded and have a greater community ownership.

The building has benefited from considerable internal refurbishment over the past few years and this makes it a very attractive venue, if marketed correctly.

High Peak Community Arts were very keen to remain stakeholders in the building and able to use it, but would not be in the position of being able to take any more responsibility. However, they would remain a user of the building and be prepared to pay lettings costs.

Pinxton Youth Centre, Bolsover

Pinxton Youth Centre is a well-used resource and an essential delivery space for youth activities and other work of the MAT. There is no other space in the local community to relocate services and local transport makes it difficult to access services outside of Pinxton.

The Centre is used throughout the day by different agencies and has recently had enquiries from other community groups who want to hire space. There is capacity to hire space to generate income as well as continue to use the building for youth activities.

The Centre could be used more over the weekends to generate income and there was willingness from the local community to promote this venue and increase usage.

The Computer Suite is underused and could be developed as a community resource or used by other departments to deliver training. Vacant office spaces at the rear of the building could be rented out to generate income.

The building has been internally refurbished and is fully accessible.

Somercotes Youth Centre, Amber Valley

There is high deprivation in the local area which needs local provision, as poverty and an inability to travel prohibits access to services out of Somercotes. The Youth Centre is in a good location being next to the park, but needs to make more use of its building by being open more. There is availability during the day and some evenings.

The preferred option is to keep the building as County owned and run, but with an increased usage. There has been interest to run a breakfast club and an increase in 14-19 evening provision from voluntary organisations and this needs to be developed. There is the potential to generate income through letting the building, but more needs to be done in promoting this in the local community.

The Youth Centre is used by the MAT as a delivery space and breakout space for meetings, as well as to deliver youth activities and by the Amber Valley Youth Forum.

Whitfield Youth Centre, High Peak

This is a good venue for delivering activities and is in the centre of the Whitfield estate. It was felt that services for young people should remain at this venue as it was easily accessible to the local community.

The Church is interested in using the building in the future, but would prefer to rent space rather than take on ownership. Options were discussed whether it would be preferable to be on a sessional basis or on a longer term lease. The lease option seemed favourable but this would depend on costings.

The venue could be advertised and used more at the weekends for parties and functions.

There are other organisations in the local community who own buildings and are struggling to maintain those buildings. There was a suggestion to contact these other organisations to see if there was any scope in entering into partnerships/lease agreements to maximise the use of the Youth Centre.

The MAT Manager would like to increase services from the Youth Centre and possibly move the MAT and Children's Centre from their current base to the centre. There would be sufficient office space with minor alterations and there is a lot more delivery space than in the current MAT base.

There is a potential saving by relocating the MAT and releasing the building they currently occupy as this is on prime building land and has lots of new residential accommodation surrounding it. This is currently being investigated.

Buildings to be Declared as Surplus

Aldercar Youth Centre, Amber Valley

Usage patterns indicate that during 2011/12 this building has accommodated 15 sessions of youth activity. The building is used in an ad-hoc fashion as an admin. base, though the Multi Agency Team is fully based at Godkin House in Ripley.

Activities that have been delivered from the building can be delivered from other venues, including developing partnership work with the school.

Talking Hedz Youth Centre, Bolsover

This building is used for the delivery of two sessions of youth activity per week. This activity is restricted due to the fire regulations on the building stipulating that no more than 15 people should be inside at any one time.

Alternative venues, such as the Adult Education Centre and local community halls have been used for specific project work in the past where more space or specialist facilities are needed, and this has not impacted on the numbers attending.

At the stakeholder meeting young people were eager that their youth sessions should continue but were happy if this was in alternative venues. Alternative accommodation was discussed and there is a willingness from stakeholders to support the continuation of youth activities in other venues.

The MAT is based within the Adult Education Centre and has started to explore this as an alternative venue. Young people would be happy with this transition and have used the Centre in the past, making comment on the quality of the facilities in the Adult Education Centre. A move out of Talking Hedz would mean more young people would be able to access provision as numbers are currently restricted due to building accommodation.

Gladys Buxton Centre (existing youth building)

The existing youth centre on the Gladys Buxton site is currently mothballed due to health and safety reasons. Youth activities have not operated from this building for several months. It is proposed that this existing building is demolished.

Youth activities are run from Gosforth Youth Centre, but there is the possibility to refurbish two rooms in the main Gladys Buxton building to become a multi-use space and accommodate youth activities. This is an area of high need and is more accessible for young people than Gosforth Youth Centre, which would enable services to be relocated and the Community Asset Transfer of Gosforth.

Heanor Youth Centre, Amber Valley

This is based on Heanor Gate School site and is leased to Derbyshire County Council. The school would like to take back ownership of this space and to invest in refurbishing the space into the KS4 Achievement Centre, possibly retaining some social aspect along with a training space for running short term courses such as First Aid.

In the stakeholder meeting, the school clearly valued the youth input and is keen to enter into an agreement to enable the space to be used in the evening to provide youth activities.

Youth activities would continue to run from this venue, but the ownership and responsibility for maintenance would revert to the school.

Chinley Youth Centre

Chinley Community Association, supported by the Parish Council, has been managing the use of the building for several years, following a report to Cabinet in 2007. They are currently responsible for all the cleaning and caretaking costs and internal maintenance, whilst Derbyshire County Council maintain responsibility for the external maintenance of the building.

Through the stakeholder meeting, the Community Association has expressed interest in formalising this agreement via a Community Asset Transfer, but requested on-going support from DCC for external maintenance.

Youth Activities would remain unchanged and Chinley Community Association has committed to ensuring the building remains available to deliver current youth activities.

Gosforth Youth Centre

Gosforth Playgroup has been based in the Youth Centre for over 20 years and has expressed interest in Community Asset Transfer of the building to take sole ownership of the building and all associated costs. It has plans to make the building into more of a community venue, increasing evening and weekend usage, and has agreed in principal that DCC would still have usage

of the space if needed. Other building user groups are in agreement with this proposal and support the Playgroup's plans.

Successful Community Asset Transfer of this property would mean the relocation of youth activities to the Gladys Buxton site. Young people felt this would make activities more accessible. The refurbishment of two rooms in the Gladys Buxton Centre would be necessary to accommodate this move and it is anticipated that the one-off spend at the Gladys Buxton site would be a future investment.

Consultation with young people has already begun about the proposed refurbishment and a future report will be sent to Cabinet detailing the costs of refurbishment on the Gladys Buxton site.

Transferring youth activities to the Gladys Buxton site would move them into an area of greater need as well as ensuring they were accessible to more young people. It would also enable the development of more targeted work with vulnerable young people.

Ockbrook and Borrowash Youth Centre

Derbyshire County Council no longer delivers youth activities from Ockbrook and Borrowash Youth Centre.

In April 2012, volunteers formed Ashbrook Youth Group and began running four nights per week youth activities from the building, supported by both the Parish Council and Derbyshire County Council. The voluntary group has an established committee which has representatives from other building user groups.

The proposal is that Ockbrook and Borrowash building users would form a Management Committee to take over the running and day to day management of the building. This is fully supported by the Parish Council. Stakeholders expressed enthusiasm for this proposal but requested that DCC retained responsibility for external maintenance for the building.

Access to youth activities has increased through the development of the voluntary youth provision and the transfer of this building would further support this development.

Appendix 3

Youth Centre Running Costs

	2011/12 Controllable Expenditure	Two Year Average (2010/11 and 2011/12) Uncontrollable Expenditure
Youth Buildings Selected for Disposal		
Aldercar Youth Centre	£7,600	£900
Talking Hedz Youth Centre, Bolsover	£10,500	£700
Gladys Buxton	£0	£0
Heanor Youth Centre	£300	£600
Total	£18,400	£2,200
 Buildings Identified for Community Transfer		
Chinley Youth Centre	£4,900	£8,500
Ockbrook & Borrowash Youth Centre	£21,800	£8,900
Gosforth Youth Centre	£7,000	£7,900
Total	£33,700	£25,300
 Disposal & Transfer Total Expenditure	£52,100	£27,500

Appendix 4 - Youth Buildings

The Service Level Agreement for the cleaning and caretaking of buildings was established in 2002 and has not been subject to a review since. Building use has changed over the last 10 years, yet the cleaning and caretaking of the buildings have remained the same. This has resulted in approximately 30,498 hours of cleaning and caretaking time for approximately 10,140 hours of youth service delivery time. Although, a small proportion of community use is included, the expenditure falls on the youth budget which must be addressed.

The income generated is £71,637 and the gross building cost is £635,039.

The cleaning and caretaking costs are £343,800, which is the recharge cost from Property Services to the CAYA Youth budget.

This breaks down as

Building	Income generated from lettings	Gross expenditure	Cleaning cost	Cleaning hours per year (recharged)	No. of hours per year used by DCC to deliver youth activities	Other user groups
Aldercar Youth Centre	£53	£7,700	£3,750	312	15	Frantic families (2 half days per month) MAT use out of office hours (occasional)
Talking Hedz Youth Centre, Bolsover		£10,461	£3,054	520	177	
Buxton for Youth Centre, Buxton		£3,231	£2,812	260	315	DCC do not manage other user groups. Building landlord rents space out and gains income.
Cantelupe Youth Centre, Ilkeston						

Chapel Youth Centre, Chapel-en-le-Frith	£4,626	£21,806	£15,684	1,196	420	Army Cadets (6 hours per week) Revival Church (3 hours per week)
Chinley Youth Centre		£4,885			96	Well used by Community Association to run a variety of groups and projects
Clay Cross Youth Centre, Clay Cross		£4,267	£143		570	
Creswell Youth Centre, Creswell		£13,531	£9,374	780	414	Ozbox Community Gym
Eckington Youth Centre, Eckington	£1,113	£19,355	£12,957	988	423	Monday Club voluntary group (3 hours per week)
Fairfield Youth Centre, Buxton	£29,500	£104,205	£58,893	3,796	330	Adult Education Children's Centre Ozbox Community Gym Health Community football group 3 x community activity groups Local residents hire squash courts
Gamesley Youth Centre, Hadfield	£3,136	£27,851	£20,898	1560	183	Credit Union Football Club (own the football ground to the rear of the building) Elite Boxing Academy (3 hours per week) Health Improvement Team Dance group on Saturday morning Health visiting team Children's Centre
Gladys Buxton Centre (existing youth building)						
Gosforth Youth Centre	£4,457	£11,504	£6,426	520	387	Gosforth Pre-School (25 hours per week) Drama group (3 hours per week)

Hadfield Youth Centre, Hadfield	£4,957	£32,375	£20,081	1,612	165	Tai Chi (3 hours per week) Lace Group (2 hours per week) Stitch Club (2 hours per week) Mencap (3 hours per week) Senior Citizens group (4 hours per week) Brownies (2 hours per week)
Hasland Youth Centre, Hasland	£3,413	£20,391	£10,936	910	507	Mother and toddler group (8 hours per week) Brownies (2 hours per week) Rainbows (2 hours per week) Guides (2 hours per week) Scouts (2 hours per week) Cubs (2 hours per week) Beavers (2 hours per week)
Hathersage Youth Club, Hathersage		£1,934			75	
Heanorgate Youth Centre						
Ironville Youth Centre, Ironville						
Killamarsh Youth Centre, Killamarsh		£15,968	£10,306	832	486	Police
Long Eaton Youth Centre, Long Eaton						Ozbox Community Gym
New Mills Youth Centre, New Mills	£3,033	£39,958	£20,764	1,560	273	High Peak Community Arts (occasional project use) Volleyball (2 hours per week) Zumba and tai chi (4 hours per week) East Cheshire referral unit (occasional term time use)
Ockbrook and	£4,297	£26,013	£18,465	1,534	63	Brownies (2 hours per week)

Borrowash Youth Centre						Playgroup (25 hours per week) Health (3 hours per week) Ashbrook Voluntary Youth Group (10 hours per week) Borrowash Victoria Football club (3 hours per week) MAT (occasional)
Peter Webster Centre, Whittington Moor, Chesterfield	£1,387	£60,914	£27,359	3,614	1,098	Adult Education Adventurous Activities Team Children's Centre MAT base Spire Lodge Bridge Programme
Pinxton Youth Centre, Pinxton	£62	£40,233	£25,342	1,924	819	Adult Mental Health Team Women's Aid Health Children's Centre
Polygon Centre, Alfreton		£35,844	£23,059	2,184	534	Oxbox Community Gym Oyster Voluntary club (3 hours per week) Youth Offending Team (3 hours per week) Used as training base (occasional) MAT base
Somercotes Youth Centre, Somercotes		£5,499	£4,685	312	222	Scouts (mainly for storage)
Tupton Youth Centre, Tupton		£13,479	£7,802	1,040	651	MAT base
Whitfield Youth Centre, Whitfield	£1,409	£29,916	£21,025	1,560	267	Ozbox outreach sessions MAT use as delivery space Senior Citizens group (3 hours per week) Mums and tots (5 hours per week) Occasional use at weekends for birthday parties

						No Limits voluntary run disability group (3 hours per week)
Woodville Youth Centre, Swadlincote	£8,734	£34,094	£19,912	1,560	216	Ozbox Community Gym Youth Offending Team School (30 hours per week in term time) Social Care Disability Team

All information is based on the 2011/12 financial year, the Service Property Review of Youth Centres (2011) and the building stakeholder meetings.