

MINUTES of a meeting of **CABINET** held on 26 March 2013 at County Hall, Matlock.

PRESENT

Councillor A I Lewer (in the Chair)

Councillors J A Allsop, J P Harrison, Mrs C A Hart, C W Jones, B Lewis, M V Longden, K L Parkinson and S A Spencer.

Councillor S Flitter also attended the meeting.

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillor Ms A Western.

80/13 ITEMS RAISED BY THE MINORITY GROUPS

Councillor S Flitter raised the following;

Agenda Item 6(b) – Departmental Service Plans – (a) With respect to Adult Care and personalised budgets, within the cross-cutting policies and a positive approach to risk, how does the Council intend to manage the transition of those who no longer qualified for help? (b) With regard to Environmental Services, how will the Council allow local knowledge to compete with national criteria? (c) Noting the press headline of lighting being switched off in 75 villages after midnight, has proper consultation been carried out given the desire to increase safety and security? (d) Will the winter gritting and snow clearance programmes be re-visited to keep rural Derbyshire moving? (e) With regard to Children and Younger Adults, whilst reducing the number of children waiting for adoptive parents and reducing the timescales to 26 weeks was a step forward, how was the Council making the process easier for people willing to adopt as the report makes no mention of encouraging people to go through such a rigorous process?

Agenda item 6(d) – Budget Monitoring 2012-13 – The report refers to a decline in rents for business units. What action is the Council taking to rectify this situation?

Agenda item 6(i) – Virtual School Head for Children in Care – What extra skills and expertise would the post bring to the championing of children in care as most issues highlighted in the document should already be addressed by the Council?

Agenda item 6(j) – Alternative Provision Funding Formulae 2013-14 – (a) The report proposes changes to management committee structures but, under the current or any proposed constitution, are the committees still quorate if the number of community membership representatives was below the majority needed? (b) How can the County Council be assured that community representatives are independent of politics and local authority interests? (c) Is it not a big brother attitude to strongly recommend that

appointments and dismissals of community representatives are made by the local authority?

Agenda item 6(k) – Special and Enhanced Resource School Funding Formulae 2013-14 – The report refers to the uplifting of profile multipliers and a perceived smaller funding gap. What is the average funding gap and how is it balanced and what is the value placed on an element of top-up on shadow profiles?

81/13 **MINUTES** **RESOLVED** that the non-exempt minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 5 March 2013 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

82/13 **CABINET MEMBER MEETINGS** **RESOLVED** to receive the non-exempt minutes of Cabinet Member Meetings as follows:

- a) Finance and Management – 22 February 2013
- b) Technology and Recycling – 28 February 2013
- c) Adult Care – 5 March 2013
- d) Young People – 5 March 2013
- e) Regeneration – 11 March 2013
- f) Education – 11 March 2013
- g) Highways and Transport – 5 March 2013

83/13 **COUNCIL SELF-ASSESSMENT** (Leadership and Culture) The Chief Executive sought approval for the Council's Self-Assessment and the revised key corporate challenges for 2013-4. The Council's Performance and Improvement Framework reflected changes to the national performance management arrangements for local government. A key element of the Framework was the annual Self-Assessment which was an important performance review tool for the Authority which helped the Council evaluate how well it was doing and how operational delivery was helping to improve the lives of local people. The updated Self-Assessment, which was attached at Appendix A to the report, showed that the Council was continuing to deliver on its priorities with this being achieved in a climate of reduced funding. The Council had managed its resources well and whilst the workforce had reduced, priority front-line services had been protected.

Following approval of the Self-Assessment, further work would be undertaken to develop the Council's Improvement Plan 2013-14 which would outline how the key corporate challenges would be addressed and how progress would be measured. A further report would be presented to Cabinet on the Improvement Plan in due course.

RESOLVED that (1) the Council's Self-Assessment 2013 and the revised key corporate challenges for the Authority be approved; and

(2) a further report on the Council Improvement Plan to outline how the key challenges would be addressed and how progress would be measured would be presented to Cabinet in due course.

84/13 DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE PLANS 2010-14 – 2013-14 REFRESH (Leadership and Culture) Cabinet considered a report which sought approval for the Departmental Service Plans 2013-14 Refresh and associated budgets. Departmental Service Plans set out how each department would contribute to the Council Plan 2010-14 priorities and also described how departments would deliver these priorities and how successful the impact would be measured. Each Service Plan included any capital or revenue spend the department was seeking Cabinet approval for.

RESOLVED that (1) the 2013-14 Refresh of Departmental Service and Spend Plans be approved including the award of contracts and placing of orders within each approved programme budget;

(2) the Service and Spend Plans be submitted to full Council for endorsement;

(3) Cabinet agree in principle to the development of a programme of works to maximise the benefit of exceptional maintenance funding amounting to £4,030m (£2.625m in 2013-14 and a further £1.405m in 2014-15) allocated by the Department of Transport to deal with highway deterioration arising from severe weather and;

(4) authority be delegated to the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport to approve minor amendments to the list of Capital Schemes and approve individual schemes to be supported from Countryside Services Capital Allocation, subject to external funding being secured.

85/13 ADDITION TO THE 2012-13 CAPITAL PROGRAMME – PROPOSED TRAFFIC CALMING SCHEME – STATION ROAD, BARROW HILL (Highways and Transport) The Strategic Director – Environmental Services sought approval from Cabinet for the proposal to introduce a traffic calming scheme on Station Road, Barrow Hill, which was recommended to facilitate a small housing development and address an injury collision problem. The total cost of implementing the proposed traffic calming scheme, including design fees, was estimated to be £41,254. Bond money of £27,870 would partly finance the project with the remaining funding being met from the 2012-13 Traffic Management Capital Scheme Budget.

RESOLVED that (1) proposed scheme be funded by the Bond and the 2012-13 Capital Budget as detailed in the report; and

(2) the scheme be included in the 2012-13 Capital Programme.

86/13 BUDGET MONITORING 2012-13 (Finance and Management) The Director of Finance provided Cabinet with an update of the latest budget

monitoring position which showed an overall departmental overspend of £1.019m which, after consideration of centrally held budgets and expenditure, would lead to an expectation of an overall underspend for the Council of around £10m. The overall forecast underspend had increased from £7.1m as reported to Cabinet on 29 January 2013.

The Environmental Services Department forecast underspend had increased due to vacancy control as a result of the recent Highways review and there had also been a £1.4m increase in the Chief Executive's underspend which was largely due to the delay in implementing the Digital Derbyshire Local Broadband Plan as a result of delays at the national level in gaining approval to key elements of the programme. A summary of the controllable budget position by department was detailed in the report.

It was proposed to transfer the Council's contribution towards the match-funding of the Broadband Delivery UK Grant Allocation of £1.056m to an earmarked reserve.

RESOLVED that (1) the 2012-13 budget monitoring position as at 31 December 2012 be noted and;

(2) approval be given to transfer the Broadband match-funding of £1.056m to an earmarked reserve.

87/13 PROGRESS REPORT ON THE FUTURE OF ELVASTON CASTLE AND ESTATE (Finance and Management) The County Council had been looking for a number of years for a solution which would provide a sustainable future for Elvaston Castle and the surrounding Estate, which would relieve the Council of the significant on-going annual maintenance costs, whilst maintaining public access to the Castle and Country Park. The Council had been in discussions with the National Trust over the last 18 months about how the Trust might be able to assist the Council in finding a way forward that achieved an acceptable solution to the Council, the Estate and the community.

At its meeting on 18 December 2012, the Elvaston Castle and Country Park Working Party was advised that an internal study by the National Trust into potential financial and governance models that could be applied to Elvaston was in progress and that the findings would be known in February 2013. The National Trust had confirmed that it now wished to enter into a partnership with the Council, and a formal proposals letter had been received from the Trust which outlined the proposed partnership arrangement and the associated next steps.

In rationalising their recommendation, the National Trust referred to the significance of the gardens at Elvaston and the potential to restore parts of the Castle, coupled with the huge appetite to visit from the local community. The Trust suggested that the likely capital costs of repair and restoration could be mitigated through the involvement of the private sector in developing

redundant or empty buildings to new uses, and through seeking Heritage Lottery Fund grant aid which would assist County Council with the remaining backlog of repairs. The Trust recommended that it act as a catalyst for a consortium of public, private and voluntary sector involvement to secure the Estate's future. In practical terms, they suggested that partnership working with the Council would be in three discreet activity streams for the next twelve months;

- (1) Construction of a compelling and palpable vision which had wide stakeholder input and ownership
- (2) The formation of a formal coalition of partners from the public, private and voluntary sectors to enact the vision
- (3) The construction of capital and revenue funding packages to underpin the delivery of the vision.

Discussions had been held with the Heritage Lottery Fund regarding a phased funding bid for Elvaston so that progress could happen at a sustainable pace. It was suggested that the Heritage Enterprise Grant Programme, due to commence in early 2013, would be the best bid option in the first instance. This would allow profit making enterprises to make use of capital infrastructure works in return for a rental income to the management body overseeing the Estate and with the rental income being fully reinvested in the Estate. This could facilitate the early repair and conversion of some of the most vulnerable buildings in the Castle area, whilst initiating a sustainable income stream for appropriate reinvestment. A further application could then be made for a Park for Peoples Grant for restoration works to the gardens and Park.

The Working Party believed that after many years of trying to find a workable solution for Elvaston, the involvement of the National Trust working in partnership with the Council offered the only effective way forward. The Council had learned from experience that trying to find a single solution for Elvaston was no longer likely to be successful and this was confirmed by the strategic options appraisal carried out in 2011. Working in partnership with the National Trust for the next 12 months would enable the Council to find a solution which it was hoped would attract substantial grant funding and relieve the Council of the significant on-going running costs of the Estate in the longer term. The Elvaston Castle Working Party therefore recommended the solution to be adopted by Cabinet.

RESOLVED that (1) the Council enter into a mutually acceptable partnership arrangement with the National Trust to find a sustainable future for Elvaston Castle and Estate;

(2) the allocation of £125,000 from General Reserves for 2013-14 to fund the appointment of a project officer and other work to be undertaken by the National Trust be approved, along with external legal and financial support required to assist the Council with the preparation of funding applications to

the Heritage Lottery Fund and, if applicable, other funding bodies, the drafting of legal documentation and the development of options and associated business cases; and

(3) Standing Orders in Relation to Contracts be waived to enable the Council to enter into a mutually acceptable partnership arrangement with the National Trust on terms to be agreed by the Director of Property and the Director of Legal Services.

88/13 STATUTORY OFFICER DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE (Finance and Management) Cabinet considered a joint report of the Deputy Chief Executive and the Director of Human Resources which sought the endorsement of a response to the Department for Communities and Local Government on its proposals to remove “the designated independent person” from the disciplinary process for the Head of Paid Service, Monitoring Officer and the Chief Finance Officer.

In exercising regulatory functions, the statutory officers may come into conflict with the leadership of the Authority and, to protect these officers against arbitrary disciplinary proceedings potentially leading to dismissal, the disciplinary procedure incorporated the mandatory appointment of a designated independent person to provide objectivity and impartiality to the disciplinary process.

The Department for Communities and Local Government had proposed that the requirement to appoint a designated independent person should be removed and replaced by a requirement that a final decision to dismiss a statutory officer must be taken by full Council. The Department considered that the current arrangement led to a higher prevalence and high overall cost of severance payments as part of compromise agreements, which were implemented instead of disciplinary proceedings requiring a designated independent person. The Department had launched a consultation on the proposals which ended on 14 March 2013 and, following discussions with the Cabinet Member for Finance and Management, a response to the proposals had been sent to meet the consultation deadline, a copy of which was attached at Appendix 2 to the report.

It was felt that the removal of this protective measure could weaken the ability of councils to discharge their functions effectively and lawfully in order to prevent the politicisation of employees and to safeguard against the inappropriate or illegal use of public resources.

It was considered that with the outcome of the consultation, it would be appropriate for the Council to develop its own disciplinary procedures for these statutory officers, which were currently governed by model procedures in the JNC for Chief Executives and the JNC for Chief Officers Conditions of Service. It was suggested that the Council could incorporate the role of designated independent person in its own disciplinary procedures and reaffirm

the Council's approach against "golden handshake" pay-offs. This would be in keeping with the Department for Communities and Local Government advice against Councils implementing gold plated termination arrangements. It was proposed that a further report be presented to Cabinet reviewing the current arrangements having taken into account the outcome of the consultation and the subsequent guidance from the local government employers.

RESOLVED that (1) the consultation response as set out at Appendix 2 to the report be endorsed;

(2) the role of designated independent person be incorporated into the Council's disciplinary procedures for the Chief Executive, Monitoring Officer and Chief Finance Officer; and

(3) further reports be received as appropriate.

89/13 ACCEPTABLE USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA – NEW PROCEDURE

(Finance and Management) The Director of Human Resources and the Director of Communications sought Cabinet approval of the Acceptable Use of Social Media Policy and to inform Cabinet of the Council's processes for managing the business use of social media. Copies of the policies were attached Appendices 1 and 2 to the report.

RESOLVED that the policies be approved as detailed at Appendices 1 and 2 to the report.

90/13 DERBYSHIRE ECONOMIC REVIEW – MARCH 2013

(Regeneration) Cabinet considered the latest Derbyshire Economic Review to March 2013 which provided up to date and relevant economic data to Cabinet and partner agencies. The Review was updated on a quarterly basis and reports on key national and local economic trends available from the Derbyshire Observatory website. It also provided a commentary on two areas of priority activity being delivered by the County Council with this edition focussing on the economic climate and employability, education and skills. The report was used to inform the relevant economic policy and delivery activity and it enabled the County Council to support and facilitate the delivery of partnership activity, most notably through the Derbyshire Economic Partnership and the D2N2 Local Enterprise Partnership.

RESOLVED that the information presented within the Derbyshire Economic Review March 2013 be noted, with the findings being used to support future service planning and policy development.

91/13 VIRTUAL SCHOOL HEAD FOR CHILDREN IN CARE (Young People) The Strategic Director - Children and Younger Adults sought Cabinet approval for the appointment of a new designated full-time Virtual School Head for Children in Care.

Local authorities had a statutory responsibility to make sure they promoted the educational achievement of the children they looked after regardless of where they were placed. Having a Virtual School Head was one of the key ways in which a local authority could demonstrate to inspectors that it was discharging this legal obligation. The role had been successfully piloted by eleven authorities between 2007 and 2009 and the evaluation of the pilots found that the role could make a real difference, especially when the Virtual School Head had a strategic role and sufficient seniority to influence practice and the use of resources across the authority. The cost implications of the Virtual School Head post was £80,945 including on-costs, which could be funded from within existing Children and Younger Adults budgets.

RESOLVED that the establishment of a new full-time designated Virtual School Head be approved as detailed in the report.

92/13 ALTERNATIVE PROVISION FUNDING FORMULAE 2013-14

(Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought Cabinet approval for the basis of determining Alternative Provision Funding Arrangements for 2013-14. Details of the national changes and the position in Derbyshire were presented for Cabinet’s attention.

RESOLVED that (1) the report be noted;

(2) the funding methodology as set out in Section 2 to the report be approved;

(3) responsibility for the management of Pupil Referral Unit budgets, staffing and associated responsibilities be delegated to the existing management committees from April 2013 in accordance with the School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2012;

(4) the revised management committee structures as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report be approved and;

(5) the potential for further changes in funding arrangements relating to alternative provision from April 2014 be noted.

93/13 SPECIAL AND ENHANCED RESOURCE SCHOOL FUNDING FORMULAE 2013-14

(Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought Cabinet approval to the basis for determining schools and Enhanced Resource School budgets for 2013-14. The Department for Education had determined that pupils with “high needs” were those whose educational provision costs more in total, including the basic provision given to all pupils, than around £10,000 per year. This threshold defined the level of need that the Department would expect to be met through mainstream funding and those for whom additional funding was required. Pupils and students with high needs included pupils aged 0 – 19 with high level special educational needs in special schools and Enhanced Resource School provision.

In Derbyshire, the cost of each pupil varied based on the type of need and institution, but broadly ranged from £12,219 for pupils with moderate learning difficulties in an Enhanced Resource School, to in excess of £55,000 for pupils with multi-sensory impairment in a special school.

For special schools and mainstream schools with an enhanced resource facility, the proposed new arrangements had to be based on a national pupil place rate topped up by an additional allocation based on the number of pupils actually on role and the individual needs. Derbyshire's proposals, which required formal approval, followed consultation with affected pupils at the end of 2012, and were intended to reflect the national place requirements, the profile of need and the individual school/pupil's needs. Details of the basic place funding, the profile and the individual and/or school pupil factor were presented in the report.

RESOLVED that (1) the report be noted;

(2) the special school and Enhanced Resource School funding methodology be approved as set out in Section 2.2 to the report.

(3) special schools that requested it, be allowed to re-pool funding alongside primary schools as set out in Section 2.3.2 to the report;

(4) the existing residual special schools' funding be allocated as set out in Section 2.3.3 to the report;

(5) special schools be allocated a share of the residual DSG on the basis of the number of places as detailed in Section 2.5 to the report; and

(6) the views of special schools and Enhanced Resource Schools to the recent consultation be noted.

94/13 SCHOOLS BROADBAND (Technology and Recycling) Cabinet was asked to note an urgent decision taken by the Chief Executive approving the adoption of the emPSN Infrastructure Co and the emPSN Services Co five year Business Plans for 2013-18. A copy of the report presented to the Chief Executive was attached as an Appendix to the report.

RESOLVED that the urgent decision taken by the Chief Executive to approve the Council's approval for the emPSN Infrastructure Co and the emPSN Services Co Business Plans for 2013-18 be noted.

95/13 TIBSHELF COMMUNITY SCHOOL – IT INFRASTRUCTURE FOR NEW SCHOOL (Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought approval to allocate funding from the 2009-10 Capital Programme (Co-location Budget) to provide new IT infrastructure at the new Tibshelf Community School.

An assessment had been made of the School's existing IT infrastructure which had found that it was old and unreliable and would not form the sound

basis of an IT infrastructure for the new School. A design for a new, up to date IT infrastructure had been developed by the School, external advisors and guided by County Council IT professionals with experience of school IT networks. The design was judged to be the minimum capable of providing a good IT service and the total funding required was £750,000, to which the School was able to make a contribution of £65,000. The balance of funding of £685,000 could be met from savings in the 2009-10 Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme (Co-location Budget).

RESOLVED that funding of £685,000 for a new IT system at the replacement Tibshelf Community School be approved, to be funded from savings from the 2009-10 Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme as detailed in the report.

96/13 CHILDREN AND YOUNGER ADULTS CAPITAL PROGRAMME – JOINT FUNDING INITIATIVE FOR SCHOOLS (Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought approval for a further schedule of proposals submitted by schools to be funded from the budget approved in the 2012-13 Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme. Eighty-four projects had been approved previously at a total cost of £2,761,000 thus equating to a charge of £1,380,500 against the approved capital budget. A further list of schemes had now been assessed with the following projects being submitted for approval.

School	Project	Total cost	LA Contribution
Bolsover Infant & Nursery	Window replacements	£14,000	£7,000
Castle View Primary, Matlock	Window & door replacements, roofing works and internal refurbishments	£60,000	£30,000
Clifton Primary	Window/door replacement & playground refurbishment	£16,600	£8,300
Coton in the Elms Primary	Classroom refurbishment	£17,000	£8,500
Gamesley Primary School	Toilet refurbishments	£14,000	£7,000
Hunloke Park Primary	Flat roof replacement	£38,500	£19,250
John Flamsteed School	Replace and repair walls	£30,000	£15,000
John King Infant,	Replace boiler house roof	£11,500	£5,750

Pinxton			
Ladycross Infant, Sandiacre	Classroom & toilet refurbishments	£11,500	£5,750
Little Eaton Primary	Replacement doors, refurbish floor & toilets, external resurfacing	£62,000	£31,000
Northfield Junior, Dronfield	Replacement windows	£52,000	£26,000
Ripley Junior	Roofing and flooring renewal, changing room refurbishment,	£130,000	£65,000
Walton on Trent CE Primary	Toilet refurbishment and path improvements	£36,000	£18,000
Whitwell Primary	Replacement windows	£15,000	£7,500
TOTAL		£508,100	£254,050

RESOLVED that approval be granted to the joint-funded projects totalling £508,100 as detailed in Section 2 to the report, with funding being split equally between the schools and the Authority.

97/13 RICHARDSON ENDOWED PRIMARY SCHOOL, SMALLEY – CHILDREN AND YOUNGER ADULTS CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2012-13

(Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults and the Director of Property sought approval to the inclusion of an additional project in the Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme 2012-13. Richardson Endowed Primary School had a net capacity of 157 pupils and had 177 pupils currently on role. The School had two blocks of accommodation with the original School dating from around 1800 and a detached block which was built in the 1970s with a later single classroom extension.

The newer block contained three good sized classrooms and a hall and the original School building contained four classrooms, one of which was very small plus a room of twenty-nine square metres which served as the staff room, the main school office and the Head Teacher's office. Hence the teaching and non-teaching accommodation required improvement and the proposal was that these issues be addressed by a single capital project. It was proposed to add a further classroom with additional toilets to the newer teaching block which would replace the very small classroom in the original school building which could then be re-modelled to create an office, a Head Teacher's office and a small group teaching room.

The budget cost of the proposed scheme was £400,000 and the School was able to make a contribution of £60,000. The Authority had received £36,500 Section 106 funding from a new housing development in Smalley and

a further housing development would generate a Section 106 payment of £90,000 in due course. However, it was not known when this development would commence, so at this stage, it could not be guaranteed as a funding source for the project. It was therefore proposed that the balance of the required funding be met from savings from a previous Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme.

RESOLVED that a project be approved in the 2012-13 Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme to provide an additional classroom and undertake re-modelling works at Richardson Endowed Primary School at a budget cost of £400,000 to be funded as detailed in the report.

98/13 STUBBIN WOOD SCHOOL AND BROOKFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL SITE (Education) The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults and the Director of Property sought approval to the demolition of buildings, re-routing of services and construction of a new boiler house on the shared site of Stubbin Wood School and Brookfield Primary School.

Stubbin Wood School currently occupied three detached buildings on its existing site which was shared with Brookfield Primary School with one of the three buildings being used by the Children's Centre and Stubbin Wood School's Nursery Unit. This was a modern building in good condition and would remain and continue to operate as at present. The other two buildings had been built with a flat-roofed system dating from the 1950s-1960s and were in poor condition. Enquiries had been made with regard to possible future uses by the Authority, but there was no potential use envisaged for these two buildings owing to their condition and as access to the shared site was restricted with only a single entrance/exit for both Nursery/Children's Centre and Brookfield Primary School, it was not deemed appropriate to consider the lease/sale of the buildings to a third party. Approval was therefore sought for their demolition.

As a consequence to the demolition it would be necessary to re-route and separate some of the services on the site, in addition to providing a boiler and boiler house for the Children's Centre and Nursery as it was currently heated from a plant room in one of the other Stubbin Wood buildings. The cost for the demolition and re-routing of services had been estimated at £250,000 which would be met from savings from previous Capital Programmes.

RESOLVED that approval be granted to an allocation of £250,000 for demolition works and re-routing of services at Stubbin Wood School, to be funded from capital savings as detailed in the report, and that the project be included as an addition to the Children and Younger Adults Capital Programme 2012-13.

99/13 EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC FROM THE MEETING
RESOLVED to exclude the public from the meeting during the consideration

of the remaining items on the Agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of exempt information contained in the following summary of proceedings.

SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC HAD BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING

- (1) Confirmation of the exempt minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 5 March 2013.
- (2) Receipt of the exempt minutes of Cabinet member meetings as follows:
 - (a) Finance and Management – 22 February 2013
 - (b) Regeneration – 11 March 2013
- (3) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Environmental Services on the Award of Passenger Transport Contracts (PTU143C) (contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
- (4) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Environmental Services on the Award of Passenger Transport Contracts (PTU145A) (contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
- (5) Consideration of the report of the Director of Finance on the Exchequer Section (contains information likely to reveal the identity of any individual).
- (6) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults on Management Restructuring Commissioning and Performance (contains information likely to reveal the identity of any individual).
- (7) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults on Restructuring Youth Worker Roles (contains information likely to reveal the identity of any individual).
- (8) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults on Developing a Careers Information, Advice and Guidance Service (contains information likely to reveal the identity of any individual).