

PUBLIC

**MINUTES** of a meeting of **CABINET** held on 21 February 2017 at County Hall, Matlock.

**PRESENT**

Councillor A Western (in the Chair)

Councillors D Allen, A Botham, D Collins, J A Coyle, and P J Smith

Also in attendance – Councillors D Greenhalgh, B Lewis and D Lomax

**57/17      PUBLIC QUESTIONS**

**(1)      Agenda Item 8(b) – Response to the Government’s Consultation on Route Refinement from HS2 from Mr G Heaseman and Mr T Mellors**

How much Derbyshire Council Tax payers money has been spent on the change of route to include a spur into Chesterfield, have accurate costings been made and are the County Council on top of the costings?

Mr M Ashworth, Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities responded that the proposals for a spur into Chesterfield had not been part of the original plans put forward in 2013 and had arisen because of the Sheffield City Region’s failure to agree to the proposal for a parkway station at Meadowhall. HS2 were now consulting on a classic compatible spur into Chesterfield using part of the the old Erewash line. Constructing a new link onto that line would affect communities such as Hilcote. A series of consultation events were currently taking place to the new proposals. Mr Ashworth had asked officers to assess the impacts of an alternative route from Toton following the whole Erewash line and this has formed part of the County Council’s response to HS2 Ltd.

In terms of costs to Derbyshire Council Tax payers, there would be no direct costs, the potential impacts and environmental costs would be paid for by HS2 Ltd and the Department for Transport.

Mr Heaseman and Mr Mellors asked the following supplementary question: If HS2 are paying all costs, does this include the costs of the new bridge that would be required, the diversion of drains and sewers and telecoms services etc?

Mr Ashworth confirmed that HS2 Ltd and the Department for Transport would be responsible for all infrastructure costs. The costs of potential knock-on effects such as damage to roads caused by the diversion of traffic was more difficult to predict and was something which the County Council would need to take up with HS2 and the Department for Transport. The expectation would be that HS2 would pay for any mitigation.

**58/17      MINORITY GROUP LEADERS' QUESTIONS**

Councillor B Lewis asked the following question:

**Agenda Item 8(h) - Ashbourne Primary Reorganisation**

We welcome the thrust of this document and proposed investment. However, there are three points:

- (1) The paragraph discussing St Oswald's is somewhat confusing where adding the sums are concerned – could this be clarified?
- (2) Are these projects really deliverable in the timeframes specified?
- (3) Why not use external procurement which may provide the opportunity to save considerable amounts of money in this and other instances?

Councillor J A Coyle, Cabinet Member – Children's Services responded that:

- (1) The remodelling cost was £485k; the relevant plan would be shared with Councillor Lewis.
- (2) Work would commence as soon as possible and it was anticipated that they would be completed within the agreed timescales.
- (3) The County Council had a very talented and skilled procurement workforce which it was intended to use for this purpose.

**59/17      MINUTES RESOLVED** that the non-exempt Minutes of the meetings of Cabinet held on 24 and 31 January 2017 be confirmed as correct records and signed by the Chair.

**60/17      CABINET MEMBER MEETINGS – MINUTES RESOLVED** to receive the non-exempt Minutes of Cabinet Member Meetings as follows:-

- (a) Highways, Transport and Infrastructure 17 January 2017

- (b) Adult Social Care – 19 January 2017
- (c) Council Services – 30 January 2017
- (d) Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget – 31 January 2017
- (e) Health and Communities – 6 February 2017

**61/17      CORPORATE PARENTING COMMITTEE – MINUTES**

**RESOLVED** to receive the minutes of the meeting of the Corporate Parenting Committee held on 17 January 2017.

**62/17      PAYMENT OF THE LIVING WAGE IN 2017-18** (Council Services) The Strategic Director – Corporate Resources sought approval to the payment of the Living Wage as an allowance in 2017-18. The Living Wage Allowance had been paid by the Council since 1 April 2014 and on 31 October 2016, the Living Wage Foundation announced that the 2017 rate had increased by 20p per hour from £8.25 to £8.45.

The Government had announced that the National Living Wage would increase by 30p to £7.50 from 1 April 2017. The Council's lowest pay point in 2017 – 18 was £7.85. Eligible employees were currently paid an allowance which was the difference between their hourly rate and the Living Wage Rate of £8.25. As a result, around 3,800 Council appointments (excluding school employed staff) benefitted from payment of the Living Wage Allowance which was equivalent to pay point 11, the top of Grade 4. If the Council agreed to payment in 2017-18 at £8.45 per hour, the Living Wage would be equivalent to pay point 12, the bottom pay point of Grade 5. An additional 350 appointments, around 4,150 appointments in total, would be paid the allowance if pay point 12 was included.

Due to their working arrangements, employees on Grade 5 were more likely to be eligible for allowances, enhancements and overtime. However as the Living Wage Allowance was paid on plain time up to thirty seven hours per week, this would not have a further financial effect.

In 2016-17, 288 maintained schools had opted into paying the Living Wage Allowance to around 3,600 employees. In addition, twelve Academies and Schools with their own payroll also paid the allowance. The Council would again make provision to encourage schools to pay the Living Wage Allowance in 2017-18.

In 2016-17, all Council employees up to the top pay point of Grade 4 had been paid the Living Wage Allowance which had started to cause some service and employee relation issues. Employees were reluctant to take on supervisory duties when the rate was the same or a significantly reduced pay

differential existed with those managed. Appendix 1 to the report detailed the Living Wage in 2017-18 and Appendix 2 showed estimated Living Wage costs.

The recognised Trade Unions had actively participated in the Pay Related Derbyshire Package Working Group and had asked that consideration be given as part of the continued payment of an increased Living Wage Allowance from 1 April 2017, to an interim adjustment of the bottom end of the pay scales (Grades 1 – 6) to re-establish an improved basic pay differential with those managed, pending a more comprehensive pay and grading review. The fact that the Living Wage was currently paid as an allowance, made any adjustment to basic pay outside of the fundamental review of the pay line problematic, particularly as any consolidation of the Living Wage within the basic pay line would, in effect, impose the new rates on schools.

In schools where the Local Authority was the legal employer (Community Schools and Voluntary Control Schools), the pay and conditions of service for school support staff must be on the scale of grades determined by the Local Authority. This meant that if the Living Wage were to be paid as part of an employee's basic pay, then Community Schools and Voluntary Controlled Schools would be legally required to pay the Living Wage to the extent that it formed part of the scale of grades.

Further meetings of the Pay Related Derbyshire Package Working Group would provide a forum to consult further with the Trade Unions on these issues. However, at this stage it was not proposed to include any measures to address the reduced differentials in basic pay for posts at grades 4, 5 and 6 that had supervisory responsibilities. Eligible Council employees would be advised of the continued payment of the Living Wage Allowance of 2017-18 and that it would continue to be subject to review on an annual basis.

**RESOLVED** to (1) approve that the Living Wage continue to be paid as an allowance to eligible employees in 2017-18;

(2) approve that individual school governing bodies be encouraged to adopt the Living Wage; and

(3) agree that it was not feasible to address the basic pay differential issue for Grades 4, 5 and 6 at this juncture and that the issue be considered as part of a broader pay and grading review, the outcomes of which would be subject to a future report to Cabinet.

**63/17      RESPONSE TO THE GOVERNMENT’S CONSULTATION ON ROUTE REFINEMENT PROPOSALS FOR HS2** (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought approval of the County Council’s response to the Government’s route refinement proposals for HS2 in Derbyshire. The report:-

- summarised the proposed changes to the route and the Government’s consultation arrangements.
- outlined the main implications for Derbyshire and the nature and scope of the County Council’s proposed response; and
- considered the specific proposals and their potential impact.

Further details on all these points were presented in the report.

Responses to the consultation needed to be submitted by 9 March 2017. These would be considered by HS2 Limited and the Department for Transport and the current intention was that the Government’s decision on the route would be made later in 2017. This would be followed by a period when HS2 Limited would engage more closely with local authorities, particularly on Highways, Planning and Environmental issues. The County Council had been invited to agree a Memorandum of Understanding covering this work which would give the Authority some control and influence over detailed issues (for example, routes for construction traffic), and it would reimburse the costs incurred in providing information and advice requested by HS2 Limited. In return, the Authority would need to meet strict deadlines. It was expected that the Hybrid Bill, which would give the Government powers to construct the route, would be deposited in 2019 and construction could start in 2023 with the line expected to be open by 2033.

**RESOLVED** to authorise the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities, in consultation with the Cabinet Member - Highways, Transport and Infrastructure, to approve a response to the consultation on route refinement proposals for HS2, based on the officer comments set out in the report.

**64/17      RE-PROCUREMENT OF DERBYSHIRE INTEGRATED SEXUAL HEALTH SERVICES (DISHS)** (Health and Communities) The Director of Public Health sought Cabinet approval to re-procure the DISHS. The Council was mandated by the Health and Social Care Act 2012, to ensure the provision of open access sexual health services and the Council’s current contract with Derbyshire Community Health Services Foundation Trust was for an initial period of two years up to 31 March 2017. In November 2016,

Cabinet approved the option to extend for a further two years until 31 March 2019. The complexity and value of the contract required a longer contract period of five plus a maximum extension of up to four years to avoid service destabilisation. Local and national experience of such procurement indicated that a longer overall contract period generated a wider commercially competitive provider market in tendering for the service and ensured stability to deliver service development. It was therefore proposed that the service be re-procured for a period of five years with an option to extend the contract for two further periods of two years.

**RESOLVED** to approve the re-procurement of the DISH Service for a period of five years with an option to extend the contract for two further periods of two years.

**65/17      YOUTH INC ANNUAL REPORT** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services celebrated the second anniversary of the launch of YOUTHINC, informed Cabinet of the achievements over the last twelve months and looked at future challenges. The report detailed the outcomes and achievements for the second year of YOUTHINC in relation to:-

- something to do;
- somewhere to go;
- something to say;
- something to learn;
- someone to talk to.

The report also detailed work in respect of the YOUTHINC website and social media and support vulnerable young people.

In respect of future proposals, it was proposed to:-

- investigate the current use of the website to look at usage and the most and least popular feature;
- redevelop the website over the next twelve months to ensure that it was more efficient and the information was easier to find noting that some consultation work had already happened to shape these developments;
- explore the delivery of the YOUTHINC offer and work with communities to map services for young people;
- undertake a robust evaluation of the impact of each YOUTHINC work stream.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the report; and

(2) to note the future proposals as detailed below:

- investigate the current use of the website to look at usage and the most and least popular feature;
- redevelop the website over the next twelve months to ensure that it was more efficient and the information was easier to find noting that some consultation work had already happened to shape these developments;
- explore the delivery of the YOUTHINC offer and work with communities to map services for young people;
- undertake a robust evaluation of the impact of each YOUTHINC work stream.

**66/17      CHILDREN'S SERVICES CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2016-17- ALLOCATIONS** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services sought approval for additional allocations to two projects at the Pingle School.

The Pingle School received an allocation of £50k from the 2016-17 Capital Programme in May 2016 to enable the initial design work on the project to create an enhanced resource school facility for children who had Autistic Spectrum Disorder Needs. There was a shortage of these places across the County and the scheme was a priority for the Authority. Design work had been completed and a budget estimate from the Director of Property had been received for £109k, therefore an additional allocation of £140k was required.

In 2015-16, the Authority allocated £250k to a project at the School to convert the heating system from coal to gas. This project had been complicated by the discovery of an untreated mineshaft in the area affected by the proposed works. Repairs had been carried out to the existing boilers to prevent a failure in the short-term, but additional funding was now required to complete the project with the total additional amount required being £270k.

**RESOLVED** to approve (1) an additional allocation of £140k to the scheme to provide ASD places at the Pingle School to make the total funds available £190k and;

(2) an additional allocation of £270k to the scheme to convert the heating system at the Pingle School from coal to gas to make the total funds available £520k.

**67/17      CHILDREN'S SERVICES CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2016-17 – S106 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services reported on matters relating to Section 106 project allocations in the 2016-17 Children's Services Capital Programme as detailed below:.

- a Section 106 developer contribution of £161,342 for housing at Clowne had been received that would repay the Children's Services Capital Programme.

- a receipt of £234,398 had been received as a contribution to a previous project at the Duke of Norfolk CE Primary School, Glossop.

- approval was sought for allocation of a Section 106 Developer Contribution of £45,596 to a scheme at the Duke of Norfolk CE Primary School Glossop and the funding could now be added to the 2017-18 basic need balance.

- approval was sought for allocation of £92k to meet the cost of a sprinkler system at the new primary school at Brailsford.

With regards to Melbourne Junior School, Section 106 contributions for primary education in Melbourne of £159k and £68k had been agreed and were due to be received over the next three years. Melbourne Infant School had expanded and its published admissions number increased from sixty to seventy in 2015. An additional classroom had been provided in Melbourne Junior School to take the admission number from sixty to sixty-five, but a further classroom was required to allow the admissions number to be increased to seventy. The cost of this scheme, including additional parking and associated demolition costs, was £350k and it was proposed to allocate this funding from the unallocated 2017-18 Basic Need and reimburse the Children's Services Basic Need programme when Section 106 funds were received.

**RESOLVED** \_to (1) approve allocations of:

- £92k from 2017-18 basic need as a contribution to the costs of a new building at Brailsford Primary School;
- £350k from 2017-18 Basic Need for a classroom extension and associated works at Melbourne Junior School.

(2) note the receipt and approves the allocation of:

- £45,596 of Section 106 developer contribution to a project for a new reception area at The Duke of Norfolk Primary School, Glossop.

(3) note  
:



- the receipt of £161,342 of a S106 developer contribution for the Clowne schools which will be used to repay the Children's Services Capital Programme
- the receipt of £234,398 as a contribution to a previous capital scheme at The Duke of Norfolk Primary School;

and agrees that these funds be added to the 2017-18 Basic Need total.

**68/17      ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE BENNERLEY AND BREADSALL PROJECTS** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services sought approval for a further allocation of £250k for the Children's Services Projects at Bennerley, Ilkeston and Breadsall.

In January 2015, Cabinet approved up to £3.55m capital receipts and £1.2m borrowing for a proposal to transfer the Erewash Key Stage 3 Pupil Referral Unit (PRU) from the Derbyshire Support Centre Site on Brookside Road Breadsall, to a building on the ex-Ilkeston Ormiston Enterprise Academy Site, Bennerley Avenue, Ilkeston and to transfer Breadsall Primary School into the vacated PRU Building. The total cost of these projects was £4.75m.

At that time, the project costs were not finalised and the approved total funding of £4.75m was chosen assuming that project costs would fall into the middle of the range at £4.57m. The approved borrowing allocation was therefore £1.2m and the current projected costs and receipts were as detailed below:-

	Expenditure £		Income £	
Breadsall DSC site	Remodel & refurbish the PRU into new primary school.	2.2m	Sale of partial site for capital receipt.	2-2.5m
Bennerley Site (ex IOEA)	Remodel & refurbish one block for PRU + 2 other services.	2.7m	Sale of partial site for capital receipt	1.05m
	Total	4.9m		3.05-3.55m

Given that the cost of the project had come out at the top of the range originally estimated, there were a number of cost pressures, notably the Breadsall Site including the amount of asbestos in the building that was to be demolished and the costs of providing new service connections. As a consequence there was a risk the project would require additional funding, and it was therefore proposed to increase the project allocation by £150k to

allow for the increased costs and by £100k to allow for the risk around capital proceeds.

**RESOLVED** to approve that the borrowing approval for the projects at Bennerley and Breadsall be increased from £1.2m to £1.45m.

**69/17      ASHBOURNE PRIMARY REORGANISATION** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services sought approval for allocations for three projects to enable the implementation of a reorganisation of primary education in Ashbourne.

At its meeting on 24 May 2016, Cabinet approved a proposal to change the age range of Hill Top Infant School, St. Oswalds Infant School and Parkside Junior School Ashbourne, so that they would all become primary schools from 2017. The proposals were part of strategic planning to enable an expansion of primary education within the town that might be required as a consequence of housing development. At the same meeting, Cabinet approved the Children's Services Capital Programme for 2016-17, which included allocations of £50k to each of the three schools to commence planning for the conversion to primary status.

The detail of the schemes had now been developed and was as follows;

- Hill Top Primary School – it was proposed to provide a two classroom building including toilets at an estimated cost of £420k;
- In respect of St Oswalds Primary School the school currently had sufficient capacity, so no extension was required, but some remodelling of its main building and the recreation of a MUGA was proposed in order that it could be used more efficiently as a Primary School. Part of the remodelling addressed accessibility issues in order that the site met DDA requirements, including the installation of an accessible toilet and ramp. It was estimated that these works would cost in the region of £40k and be chargeable to the Schools Access Initiative budget. There was already an allocation of £110k to rewire the building and it was proposed to combine both projects. The total project costs was £685k.
- With regard to Parkside Primary School, the school required a one classroom extension and internal remodelling to provide additional toilets and external shelter at an estimated cost of £760k.

The schemes were contributing to the Authority's strategy for dealing with housing developments in the area, and as a consequence, would be largely funded by S106 contributions from developers when they were received.

However, many of the developments were at a stage where contributions had not yet been received. The current S106 funding available was £174,785 with £150k having already been allocated from Basic Need 2016 – 17. The three schemes totalled £1,865m and the balance of funding was £1,390,215, which would initially be funded from the currently unallocated 2016-17 Basic Need funding. The Basic Need funding from the Authority would be reimbursed when S106 funding was received from developers.

**RESOLVED** to approve (1) the following projects for the works detailed in the report - Hill Top: £420k, Parkside: £760k and St Oswald's: £685k, totalling £1.865m;

(4) the release of existing S106 monies of £174,785 towards the funding of the projects and the following S106 funds be used to reimburse the Children's Services Capital Programme (2016-17 Basic Need or as appropriate at the time of receipt).

Hilltop	£389,204
---------	----------

Parkside	£573,734
----------	----------

Total	£962,938
-------	----------

and;

(5) an allocation of £40k from an underspend in the 2014-15 School Access Initiative to be included in the project at St Oswald's set out above.

**70/17      CREATION OF AN ENHANCED RESOURCE FACILITY FOR PUPILS WITH PROFOUND AND MULTIPLE LEARNING DIFFICULTIES AT HILL TOP INFANT AND NURSERY SCHOOL** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services reported on the outcome of the initial consultation and to consider whether or not to proceed to the publication of statutory notices to curate an enhanced resource provision for pupils with Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties (PMLD) at Hill Top Infant and Nursery School, Ashbourne.

The School initiated pre-public consultation on provision of an Enhanced Resource School (ERS) facility at Hill Top Infant and Nursery which had been the subject of discussion with staff, parents and governors at the School and the Local Authority had supported the proposal in the light of the analysis of the demand for this provision in this area of the County.

No responses were received to the pre-publication and the Head Teacher reported that the staff and Governors were supportive of the proposal. Parents were keen of the ERS provision to be opened, reflecting the fact that the school was already a very inclusive school with a number of children with PMLD.

**RESOLVED** to proceed to the publication of a statutory notice in respect of the creation of Enhanced Resource Provision for up to five pupils at Hilltop Infant and Nursery School, Ashbourne.

**71/17      SERVICES FOR SCHOOLS – ARRANGEMENTS FOR CO-ORDINATING RESOURCES** (Council's Services) The Strategic Director – Corporate Resources sought approval for the permanent establishment of the Derbyshire Services for Schools Team and the relevant funding arrangements.

The Council's budget deficit position was well understood and alongside reductions in budget, the Council had identified and prioritised the development and maintenance of income streams to mitigate these reductions. The Derbyshire Services for Schools offer had been in existence for many years and was well recognised. In that time, it had expanded to include a range of services, products and consultancy and currently there over 250 single line items available to Derbyshire School.

There were currently two teams supporting the co-ordinating activity for the programme: the Services for Schools (S4S) Co-ordinating Team based in the Corporate Resources Department and part of the Workforce Development Team in the Children's Services department. The former led all programme activity and the latter co-ordinated the training offered to schools. The virtual alignment of these two teams provided a joined up approach to selling to schools had been a recent development aimed at ensuring there was customer focussed trading and a better use of resources that were targeting the same customer base.

A number of key developments had been made since the approval of co-ordinated arrangements including the introduction of governance arrangements, financial reporting procedures, customer feedback mechanisms, customer relationship building through school forums and individual arrangements, networking and information sharing events. Against a background of increased academisation and competition within the services to schools market, income from trading in this environment had increased from £44.346m to £46.380m between 2014-15 and 2015-16, an increase of £2.034m.

Appendix A to the report set out the role of co-ordinating resources versus departmental provider teams. The recent alignment of the services and training co-ordinating teams was an obvious development for the customer and feedback would be sought about the effectiveness of these arrangements as part of the annual performance report in summer 2017. There was however, ample evidence to suggest that the output from the S4S Co-Ordinating Team was having a positive impact on both provider teams and customers.

The changing education landscape required careful management of the schools offer to ensure it remained relevant, competitive, responsive and a good fit for the Council. The acadamisisation agenda specifically would require a different approach to offering services and there was potential to offer services to a wider customer base to maintain or grow overall business. The direction of travel would require the County Council to review the operating practice, raise the quality of provision and work better together to make the best use of resources.

Research had been undertaken to ascertain how other councils covered the cost of their traded schools offer and any co-ordinating functions and the approved approach was identified from this. The annual cost of delivering the Derbyshire services for schools programme was detailed in Appendix B to the report and would be split between departments based on the number of individual offers. This approach took account the amount of support a department was likely to need together with an acknowledgement of the ability to fund that support. There would be a re-assessment each year to ensure departmental needs as agreed were being met and the resulting co-ordinating costs had been provided for. The process would be reviewed after three years to ensure that it remained fit for purpose.

All five posts within the S4S Co-Ordinating Team were temporary which created additional pressure in recruiting and retraining staff at a time when provider and customer relationships needed to be strong. Business development needed to have a much higher profile than currently, but this required time, knowledge and expertise that could not easily be sustained within these temporary arrangements. It was proposed that the five posts for the S4S Team be established permanently and that a new Grade 8 post should be created on a temporary basis for eighteen months and then subject to review. The posts within the Corporate Resources Accountancy Team were already established permanently.

**RESOLVED** to approve (1) the establishment of a permanent and temporary post within the Co-ordinating Teams as detailed in Appendix B to the report; and

(2) the funding arrangements for the Co-ordinating Resources as detailed in the report.

**72/17      EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC FROM THE MEETING**

**RESOLVED** that the Public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the remaining items on the agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of exempt information detailed in the following summary of proceedings.

**SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS AFTER THE PUBLIC HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING**

1. To consider Minority Group Leader's Questions (if any).
2. To confirm the Exempt Minutes of a Meeting of Cabinet held on 31 January 2017.
3. To receive the exempt minutes of Cabinet Member Meetings as follows:-
  - (a) Highways, Transport and Infrastructure – 17 January 2017.
  - (b) Council Services – 30 January 2017.
  - (c) Strategic Policy Economic, Development and Budget – 31 January 2017.
  - (d) Health and Communities – 6 February 2017;
4. Report of the Strategic Director – Corporate Resources on E-mail, Internet and Related Services (Council Services) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
5. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the Award of Passenger Transport Contracts (PTU165) (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
6. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy Transport and Communities on the Award of School Bus Contracts (PTU168) (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).

7. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the Review of the Derbyshire Sport Core Team (Health and Communities) (Contains information relating to any consultations or negotiations or contemplated consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations matters arising between the Authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under, the Authority).
8. Report of the Director of Public Health on Infant and Toddler Nutrition Peer Support Service (Health and Communities) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
9. Report of the Director of Public Health for the Provision of Intensive Home Visiting Service for Vulnerable Families and Young Children (Health and Communities) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
10. Report of the Strategic Director – Children’s Services on a Contract Extension – Transportation of School Meals (Children’s Services) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
11. Joint report of the Strategic Director – Adult Care, the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities and the Strategic Director – Corporate Resources on Revised Integrated Specialist Facility Scheme at Belper: Business Case Approval (Adult Social Care) (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information). This report was withdrawn.