

PUBLIC

**MINUTES** of a meeting of **CABINET** held on **20 September 2016** at County Hall, Matlock

## **PRESENT**

Councillor A Western (in the Chair)

Councillors D Allen, A Botham, D Collins, J A Coyle and P J Smith.

Councillors B Lewis and D Lomax also attended the meeting.

### Declarations of Interest

Councillor A Western declared a personal interest in Agenda item 7(y) – Trade and Investment Promotion for Derbyshire, as a proposed member of the Midlands Engine Trade Delegation to China.

### David Tysoe

The Chair reported to sad death of David Tysoe, former Head of Legal Services with the County Council. Full tributes would be paid to David at the next full Council meeting.

## **253/16      PUBLIC QUESTIONS**

**(1)      Public Question from Raymond Atkins relating to Agenda Item 7(q) – Report on the outcome of the pre-publication consultation on the proposal by Governors to close the Sixth Form at New Mills School and Sixth Form.**

The Officer's Recommendation on the proposed closure of the Sixth Form at New Mills School is as follows: **That Cabinet approves a statutory consultation, lasting four weeks from 22 September to 21 October 2016, to be carried out on the publication notice to close the Sixth Form and sixth form provision at New Mills School, and the results of which will be presented to Cabinet in a further report for consideration.** Will the Cabinet Member give an assurance that this will be a PROACTIVE consultation, which will involve some form of direct contact with individuals - including informing them about New Mills Sixth Form and what it can offer? In particular, will the Cabinet Member give an assurance that PROACTIVE consultations will be carried out with potential sixth form students living in districts of the Central High Peak area outside of the main New Mills School catchment area for 11-16 year olds? I, especially, have in mind students presently attending Chapel High School.

Councillor J A Coyle, Cabinet Member for Children's Services, responded that the publication of the proposal to close the sixth form at New Mills School would be thorough and fair and would follow the guidance set by the Department for Education, Making Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools, April 2016. This included publishing notice in a local newspaper.

**(2) Public Question from Caroline Milner relating to Agenda Item 7(j) – Advocacy.**

Is any Advocacy tender funding intending to be ring-fenced specifically to non-statutory independent Advocacy through the County? If not, would there be a risk that Care Act Advocacy would take priority and non-statutory provision would be reduced and potentially lost to people who were not eligible under a statutory provision?

Councillor P J Smith, Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care responded that Advocacy tender funding was not ring-fenced specifically to non-statutory independent Advocacy throughout the County, although he did not consider this to be an issue.

The County Council's and the Clinical Commissioning Group's total funding commitment for these services was £482k which was enough to cover both statutory and non-statutory services. Many authorities, such as Derby City Council, had no non-statutory provision so overall the County Council was doing well. If the situation changed, the County Council would revisit the issue and look at it again.

**254/16      MINORITY GROUP LEADERS' QUESTIONS**

Councillor D Lomax asked the following question:-

**Agenda Item 7(q) – Report on the outcome of pre-publication consultation on a proposal by Governors to close the Sixth Form Provision at new Mills School and Sixth Form**

It is disappointing the report to Cabinet only makes reference to 2015. For many years, New Mills School has been a highly successful and popular sixth form, outperforming other providers in Derbyshire and neighbouring authorities as well as local independent schools. The fall in numbers enrolling cannot just be attributed to a falling birth rate.

The school was encouraged to provide vocational subjects and has had significant investment in facilities including the building of the construction unit. Yet the Vocational Performance table included within the report states now no students are being examined in vocational courses.

Cabinet's decision to withdraw the report from its July meeting and to now hold the statutory consultation in the next month would seem to make the consultation pointless. Students are already applying for post year 11 provision. There is no application form on the school website for students to enrol for 2017, therefore there is no possibility of attracting students.

Could Councillor Coyle explain how the consultation can possibly be classed as a consultation when it appears that DCC and the Governors of New Mills School would seem to have cynically manipulated the timing to ensure the closure of the sixth form?

There is also concern that many parents choose to send their sons and daughters to New Mills School because of the sixth form provision. Will Councillor Coyle ensure that this is also included as part of the consultation so that the impact on the rest of the school can also be considered?

Councillor J A Coyle, Cabinet Member for Children's Services, responded that an analysis of the over the last three years showed there was virtually no difference in performance between New Mills and Buxton. Glossopdale's outcomes had improved markedly during this period and it was now out-performing both of the other two Schools. Therefore, the wording in the question was inaccurate. Its performance was below the national average in each of the last three years and it had not out-performed its closest Derbyshire neighbours. If Lady Manners, Highfields or Queen Elizabeth Grammar School were included in the data here, then the comparison would be even more adverse.

The average recruitment to the sixth form at New Mills compared to the size of the previous year's year 11 cohort from 2002-3 to 2015-16 was 46.8%. The retention in 2015/16 was 47.5%. This suggests the popularity is slightly better than the long-term average. The average size of the sixth form over the same period was 127 which was significantly less than the minimum required for new sixth forms as set out in the Department for Education guidance, Making Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools, April 2016. The overall size of the sixth form in 2015-16 was 87.

Prior to the Wolf report on vocational provision in 2011, schools offered a wide range of vocational provision and the Government at that time provided significant funding to support the growth in functional skills, vocational diplomas etc. The Wolf report changed things significantly. The Wolf review and recommendations to Government changed the emphasis in schools from vocational qualifications towards academic qualifications and the English Baccalaureate was formed.

Many of the vocational qualifications were disestablished and held a reduced currency with universities and employers. In addition, schools were not funded to provide them. Subsequently league tables also became

reflective of academic qualifications rather than vocational qualifications. If schools continued to provide a range of vocational qualifications they were heavily criticised by the Government and Ofsted.

Colleges and independent training providers were encouraged to provide places for young people who wished to go down the vocational route. The School had not offered vocational qualifications to post 16 students since 2011.

The previous Cabinet paper envisaged the statutory consultation ending on 7 October 2015. The current paper proposes that the consultation would end on 21 October. This was not a material difference and a decision would be taken in time for potential students to fully consider their options.

The Authority had followed national guidelines in dealing with this proposal and, if the statutory consultation was approved, the responses would be communicated to Cabinet before a final decision was taken.

It was worth noting that Chapel-en-le-Frith High School was 11-16 and its popularity was such that it attracted more than 100 students who lived within the normal area of New Mills School. This was an indication that parental preference takes account of a wider number of factors than the presence or otherwise of a sixth form. One of the motives for this proposal being brought forward by New Mills School was that the School wished to concentrate on ensuring the quality of its provision 11-16.

**255/16      MINUTES RESOLVED** that the non-exempt minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 26 July 2016 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

**256/16      CABINET MEMBER MEETINGS – MINUTES RESOLVED** to receive the non-exempt minutes of Cabinet Member Meetings as follows:-

- (a) Highways, Transport and Infrastructure – 12 July, 2 and 23 August 2016
- (b) Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget – 25 July and 15 August 2016
- (c) Children's Services – 9 August 2016
- (d) Health and Communities – 26 July and 23 August 2016
- (e) Adult Social Care – 20 July and 17 August 2016
- (f) Council Services – 11 July and 1 and 22 August 2016.

**257/16      REPORT ON THE OUTCOME OF THE PRE-PUBLICATION CONSULTATION ON THE PROPOSAL BY GOVERNORS TO CLOSE THE SIXTH FORM PROVISION AT NEW MILLS SCHOOL AND SIXTH FORM (Children's Services)** The Strategic Director – Children's Services advised Cabinet of the pre-publication consultation carried out by the Governors on

their proposal to close the Sixth Form Provision at New Mills School and Sixth Form and sought approval to publish a formal closure proposal and to carry out the statutory consultation on that proposal.

In March 2016, the Governors of New Mills School agreed to consult on the proposal to close the Sixth Form at their School which was motivated by a worsening financial position. The consultation had been opened on 15 April and ended on 16 May and a copy of the consultation document was attached at Appendix A to the report and a summary of responses was considered in the report.

The procedure for closing a Sixth Form provision was detailed by the Department for Education in its statutory guidance for proposers and decision-makers: "Making Prescribed Alterations to Maintained Schools". The Local Authority must have regard to this guidance when exercising functions under the School Organisation (Prescribed Alteration to Maintained Schools) (England) Regulations 2013 and the School Organisation (Establishment and Discontinuance of Schools) Regulations 2013. This guidance requires that formal consultation begins on the publication of a Statutory Proposal Notice and must last for four weeks. The formal and informal consultations must take place during term-time.

**RESOLVED** to approve that a statutory consultation, lasting four weeks from 22 September to 21 October 2016, be carried out on the Publication Notice to close the Sixth Form and Sixth Form Provision at New Mills School, and the results of the consultation to be presented to Cabinet in a further report for consideration.

**257/16      RESPONSE TO THE BETTER CARE CLOSER TO HOME CONSULTATION** (Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Chief Executive provided Cabinet with an overview of the proposals within the "Better Care Close to Home" consultation which outlined the key areas of concern and potential implications of the proposals for the Council and local residents.

The Better Care Closer to Home consultation was the first in a series to be run as part of the 21C Joined Up Care Programme and it covered the North Derbyshire and Hardwick Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) areas. Residents and stakeholders, including the Council, were invited to respond to the consultation by 5 October 2016 and it was important to note that the consultation only covered part of the County and did not include services in Erewash, Southern Derbyshire and Tameside and Glossop CCG Areas.

Local community hospital provision in the North of the County was currently provided by Derbyshire Community Health Services at Bakewell (Newholme Hospital), Buxton (Cottage Hospital and Cavendish Hospital),

Bolsover (Bolsover Hospital), Chesterfield (Walton Hospital), Clay Cross (Clay Cross Hospital) and Darley Dale (Whitworth Hospital).

If approved, the proposals outlined in the consultation could result in the closure of Bolsover and Newholme Community Hospitals, and there would be changes to the services offered at the remaining sites due to the increased use of the Community Based Services which delivered care and support at home.

Underpinning this approach, as part of the 21C Joined Up Care Programme, would be the broader development of locality placed based working through community hubs across local communities in North Derbyshire. The eight local communities were Buxton, Dales, Dronfield and North East, Chesterfield East, Chesterfield Central, High Peak, North Bolsover and South Hardwick.

The consultation proposals had been developed with input from CCG Officers, GPs, clinical staff and local community representatives. NHS Provider Organisations including Chesterfield Royal Hospital, Derbyshire Community Health Services, Derbyshire Health United, East Midlands Ambulance Services and Derbyshire Health Foundation Trust have also been involved alongside the County Council and the voluntary sector. The Health and Wellbeing Board had also received regular updates on the 21C Joined up Care Programme and the development of these consultation proposals over the course of the past year.

The CCGs had developed a pre-consultation business case which outlined the proposals in detail. In addition, a consultation document and questionnaire had been developed and were available on-line and in community venues. Since the launch of the consultation, Hardwick and North Derbyshire CCGs had co-ordinated a series of public meetings and drop-in sessions where the consultation proposals had been examined and local residents were given the opportunity to ask questions or provide comment on the proposals.

The report provided further details in relation to the national policy context, the rationale for change and development of proposals, community based services, community hospitals, and an analysis of consultation proposals.

It was proposed that the report as presented, form the Cabinet's substantive response to the Better Care Closer to Home consultation.

**RESOLVED** to submit a copy of the Cabinet report as the substantive response to the Better Care Closer to Home consultation, highlighting key issues which were of a concern to the Council and local residents.

**258/16      PREPARATION OF BUDGET 2017-18** (Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Director of Finance sought Cabinet approval for the proposed timetable for the Council's 2017-18 Budget preparation and procedures and the associated consultation arrangements, details of which were presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to (1) approve the timetable for completion of the 2017-18 budget, including the arrangement for consultation with stakeholders;

(2) note the proposals for reviewing and updating the Five Year Financial Plan; and

(3) note the arrangements for reviewing Earmarked Reserves and updating General Reserve protection.

**259/16      100% RETENTION OF BUSINESS RATES AND THE FAIR FUNDING REVIEW** (Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Director of Finance provided Cabinet with details of the Government's proposals for local government to retain 100% Business Rates and its review of the needs assessment formula that would underpin the revised rates retention system.

In the Spending Review 2015, the Government announced that local authorities would be allowed to retain 100% of their Business Rates income by the end of the current parliament. On 5 July 2016, the Government issued two consultation documents; Self-sufficient Local Government: 100% Business Rates Retention and the Fair Funding Review and Call for Evidence on Needs and Redistribution. The consultations ran for twelve weeks with a closing date of 26 September. Details of the current system and the main proposals arising from the consultation document were presented in the report. A copy of the Council's proposed response was attached at Appendix 1 to the report.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the details of the Government consultations;

(2) approve the Council's response to the consultations as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report; and

(3) agree that any amendments to the consultation response be approved by the Director of Finance in consultation with the Leader of the Council.

**260/16      BUDGET MONITORING 2016-17 (AS AT 30 JUNE 2016)** (Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Director of Finance updated Cabinet on the latest budget monitoring position as at 30 June 2016 and provided a summary of a controllable budget position by Cabinet Member portfolio. It was noted that further reports would also be

considered at Cabinet Member meetings, the Audit Committee and Council in accordance with the Budget Monitoring Policy and Financial Regulations. Where departments had asked for carry forward of budget from 2015-16, final approval from the appropriate Cabinet Member would be required.

The Children's Services, Highways, Transport and Infrastructure, and Health and Communities portfolios illustrated overspends. However, the Children's Services and Health and Communities portfolios would use Earmarked Reserves to record a break-even position whilst the current overspend for local bus services of £2.177m would be met from the General Reserve which would result in an underspend of £0.172m for Highways, Transport and Infrastructure. Details of the projected outturn compared to controllable budget was presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to note the 2016-17 budget monitoring position as at 30 June 2016.

**261/16      CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING TO MONTH 3 2016-17**

(Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Director of Finance informed Cabinet of the latest budget monitoring position for open capital schemes. The current budget was approximately £639m, with the latest monitoring shown a forecast overspend over the life of the projects of £1.674m. The position statement by department was attached at Appendix 1 to the report.

**RESOLVED** to note the current position of the monitoring of capital schemes.

**262/16      PROVISION OF HEATING, GAS, CATERING AND KITCHEN VENTILATION EQUIPMENT CONTRACTS (Council Services)** the Strategic Director – Corporate Resources sought approval to undertake four procurement exercises to establish servicing, maintenance and repair contracts for heating, gas, catering and kitchen ventilation services to ensure that equipment was maintained and complied with the law, in particular with gas safety regulations.

**RESOLVED** to approve the commencement of four procurement exercises to establish the servicing, maintenance and repair contracts for heating, gas, catering and kitchen ventilation services for a period of two years with an option to extend for two further periods of twelve months.

**263/16      SUPPLY OF FUEL CARDS – AWARD OF FRAMEWORK**

**CONTRACTS** (Council Services) The Strategic Director – Corporate Resources sought approval to award a Framework Contract utilising the Crown Commercial Services (CCS) Framework "Fuel Card and Associated Services" for the supply of fuel cards.



The proposal to use the CCS Framework was approved by Cabinet on 26 July 2016. A total of six providers were on the Framework and the tenders were evaluated against price, (40%) and quality (60%). Details of the score of each of the six suppliers were presented in the report. Fuel Cards Services achieved the highest score in relation to the overall tender by offering the best value and quality compared to the other bids.

**RESOLVED** to approve the aware of a Framework Contract using the CCS Framework Fuel Card and Associated Services for the supply of fuel cards for fuel card services, for a period of two years, with an option to extend for a further two years with effect from 1 December 2016.

**264/16      TREASURY MANAGEMENT – 2015-16 ACTIVITIES AND 2016-17 MID YEAR UPDATES** (Council Services) The Director of Finance reported on Treasury Management activities during the last financial year 2015-16, and indicated the Council's compliance with the prudential indicators set by Full Council at its meeting on 4 February 2015, in accordance with the terms of the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities. The report also detailed the latest performance of the Treasury Management function.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the annual report and Treasury Management 2015-16;

(2) note the interim report on Treasury Management for 2016-17; and

(3) note the compliance with the Prudential Indicators as detailed in Appendix 2 to the report.

**265/16      PUBLIC HEALTH PREVENTION FUND AND INVESTMENT** (Health and Communities) The Director of Public Health sought approval for a Programme and Investment in prevention interventions in 2016-17 and 2017-18 to support the priorities within the Derbyshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy and to approve the commissioning arrangements for each intervention.

An estimated £2.2m was available for investment in prevention over the next two years and a further £752,137 had been identified from the Public Health budget to support Community and Voluntary Sector (CVS) organisations at risk of reduced funding to maintain activity over a fifteen month period starting in January 2017. The CVS services that were most closely in line to key Public Health outcomes (namely; mental wellbeing, reducing social isolation and supporting older adults to maintain independent lives) had been identified as being appropriate for Public Health investment in accordance with the Department of Health rules on the use of the Public Health ring-fenced grant.

The overall aim was to align the available resources to support the priorities and ambitions within the Derbyshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy, improving health and reducing inequalities through prevention interventions. The key priority areas for investment approved by Cabinet were Ageing Well to support independence and quality of life, promoting mental and emotional wellbeing in both adults and children, and tackling the wider determinants of health, with a specific focus on housing, food quality and healthy eating, employment and workplace health. The feedback received through the consultation process on the CVS review, including the potential impacts on the prevention infrastructure within local communities, was a key consideration that informed the allocation of the prevention funding.

The process previously used to prioritise investment in Public Health commissioned services and to assess Public Health Resource Fund interventions was used to assess proposals for the Public Health Prevention Fund. The prioritisation tool was revised to include additional assessment of impact in terms of prevention and measures to ensure effective evaluation of impact.

Proposals were developed by nominated leads within the Senior Public Health Team in collaboration with appropriate partners and with oversight from relevant strategic groups. The proposals for each priority area were assessed by a Panel which moderated each submission based on a range of criteria including outcomes, number of residents benefitting, evidence of effectiveness, efficiency, impact and reduced inequalities, prevention focus, evaluation and deliverability. Details of the outcome of the Panel's assessment were presented in Appendix 1 to the report and a summary of the allocations across the four strategic priority areas were detailed as below.

	<b>Allocation 2016-17 to 2017-18</b>	
<b>Ageing well</b>	<p>£672,177 allocated via the prevention fund panel</p> <p>£752,137 allocated to community and voluntary sector organisations to maintain work delivering public health outcomes</p>	
<b>Adult mental health</b>	£306,500	
<b>Wider determinants of health</b>	Employment	£259,000
	Housing	£291,173
	Food	£271,000
<b>Children's emotional health and wellbeing</b>	£400,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>£2,951,987</b>	

	<p><i>£2,199,850 to the core prevention fund activities</i></p> <p><i>£752,137 to CVS to support prevention activities (see Adult Care cabinet paper on CVS grants)</i></p>
--	---

A review would take place in six months and should it be necessary to propose any changes to the prevention funding commitments, Cabinet approval would be sought. Interventions would be monitored and evaluated to enable quarterly updates on delivery and progress, providing assurance of a continued focus on the Public Health outcomes and the impact on prevention. It was proposed that Cabinet should receive yearly reviews on progress.

Prevention funding was non-recurrent and a key consideration was the sustainability of the interventions recommended. A number of the interventions required time-limited funding to pump-prime initiatives that required on-going funding. These included mental health training, Five Ways to Wellbeing, Food For Life, Forest Schools, Dementia Friendly Communities, Healthy Workplaces and Compassionate Communities. The impact of these interventions would continue to deliver benefits beyond the funding period through skilling up the workforce and empowering communities to embed changes to support wellbeing.

The funding would also extend or further develop the provision of existing prevention interventions such as the Parent/Infant Mental Health Project, Falls Pathway, Healthy Home Project, Family CAB Services, I Step Up, Raising Aspirations, Heart of Derbyshire Plus, Employment and Health Project, and On-line Mental Health Support. It should also provide an additional fifteen months funding for a range of CVS interventions that delivered effectively against the Ageing Well priority to support people to remain independent in their own homes, further details of which were presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to (1) to approve the programme of investment in prevention interventions in 2016-17 and 2017-18 to support the priorities within the Derbyshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy; and

(2) approve the proposed commissioning arrangements for each intervention as detailed in the Appendix to the report.

**266/16      ADVOCACY** (Adult Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care sought approval to procure Care Act Independent Advocacy Services and Non-statutory Community Advocacy. As part of the Voluntary and Community Sector (VCS) Funding Review, a thematic review of non-statutory advocacy services had been initiated in November 2015 in partnership with the four Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs). In March 2016,

Cabinet approved the continuation of grant funding payments for the existing independent advocacy service provision for up to twelve months from 1 April 2016 to 31 March 2017, whilst the strategic review was completed. Further details of the review process and the review findings were presented in the report.

It was proposed that a competitive tender process be undertaken to commission both Care Act Independent Advocacy and non-statutory community advocacy within the same service specification. The tender would offer a block contract with a lead provider of consortia of providers who would be encouraged to provide advocacy for vulnerable people rather than specific client groups and be encouraged to ensure that they had individual advocates that had specialist knowledge and expertise of supporting people with mental ill health, dementia, physical disabilities and learning disabilities.

**RESOLVED** to approve the procurement of Care Act Independent Advocacy Services and non-statutory community advocacy from 1 April 2017 for a period of two years, with annual options for the Council to extend for up to three further periods of twelve months.

**267/16      DERBYSHIRE BETTER CARE FUND UPDATE** (Adult Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care reported to Cabinet on the achievements made during the first year of implementation of the Derbyshire Better Care Fund and the commitment of all partners to continue with a Better Care Fund Plan in 2016-17 as demonstrated through an increased pooled budget. It was also noted that the Better Care Fund 2016-17 Plan had been classified as approved following a regional and national assurance process. Further details of all the above were presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to note (1) the achievements made during the first year of implementation of the Derbyshire Better Care Fund;

(2) the commitment of all partners to continue with a Better Care Fund Plan in 2016-17 as demonstrated through an increased pooled budget; and

(3) that the Derbyshire Better Care Fund 2016-17 Plan had been classified as approved following a regional and national assurance process.

**268/16      MENTAL HEALTH DAY OPPORTUNITIES AND SOCIAL INCLUSION SERVICES** (Adult Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care sought approval for the Council to secure services for mental health day opportunities and social inclusion.

The Strategic Direction of Travel for Adult Mental Health 2014-19, highlighted a commitment for the County Council and the four Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) to review day opportunities and social inclusion services to ensure equitable access across the County to

personalise support which promoted recovery from mental illness and enabled access opportunities for employment, education and volunteering. The report detailed a summary of current provision along with the findings of the review.

It was proposed that mental health day opportunities and social inclusion services would be commissioned by the Council's Adult Care Department with joint funding from CCGs, to provide a wide range of support for people with mental ill health to improve and maintain their mental health and overall sense of wellbeing; improve outcomes and support for people with mental ill health to lead a fulfilling life and reduce or delay eligible support needs and demands on mental health services. These proposals could lead to a change in provider which meant that the current building based services might close and this position had been explained to and understood by the consultees. The service would also provide the next step in the pathway for people who had received the Adult Care Enablement Service and referrals would be encouraged from both social care, primary and secondary care, other statutory services, the voluntary sector and by self-referral. The report also detailed the proposed model and details of the consultation undertaken and the outcomes of that consultation.

**RESOLVED** to approve the procurement of mental health day opportunities and social inclusion services from 1 April 2017 for a period of two years, with annual options for the Council to extend for up to three further periods of twelve months on the basis detailed in the report.

**269/16      PROCUREMENT OF A BRITISH SIGN LANGUAGE SERVICE**

(Adult Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care sought approval to undertake a procurement exercise for the provision of British Sign Language Support Services, further details of which represented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to approve the undertaking of a procurement exercise for British Sign Language Support Services from 1 April 2017 for a period of up to three years, subject to annual review.

**270/16      PROCUREMENT OF HARD OF HEARING SERVICES** (Adult

Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care sought approval to undertake a procurement exercise for two Hard of Hearing Services, one in the North and one in the South of the County, further details of which were presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to approve the procurement of two Hard of Hearing Services, one in the North and one in the South of the County to provide:

- (1) Information, advice and demonstration of specialist equipment;
- (2) Assessments for specialist equipment;

- (3) Installation of specialist equipment in people's homes;
- (4) Advising funders on making their public meetings accessible and setting up equipment; and
- (5) Promotion of peer support.

The contract would be up to three years, subject to annual review.

**271/16      CONSULTATION ON GRANT FUNDING IN 2016-17** (Adult Social Care) The Strategic Director – Adult Care informed Cabinet of the outcome of the consultation in respect of proposals to cease grant funding to voluntary sector organisations and sought approval to both continue funding to some services and discontinue funding of other services.

Details of the consultation exercise undertaken and the responses received were presented in the report. It was noted that the consultation exercise had demonstrated the positive contribution made by many of the concerned services in Derbyshire communities and, as a consequence of the evidence submitted, the Council had decided to put forward revised proposals to continue funding many of the services for a period of eighteen months to allow providers time to establish models of provision that were sustainable and complimented current and future changes in health and social care in Derbyshire. In some cases, the extensions to funding would be met by Public Health Prevention Fund Investment for Ageing Well and any decision about funding beyond 31 March 2018 would be subject to a review and further consultation, if appropriate.

Following the consultation exercise, it was recommended that the following organisations would receive a termination letter giving the organisation three months' notice of the decision to cease funding with an end date of 31 December 2016:

- Relate (Chesterfield and North Derbyshire) - £16,876 per annum.
- Relate (Derby and Southern Derbyshire) - £9,120 per annum
- Relate (Greater Manchester South) - £2,208 per annum
- CRUSE Bereavement Care (Mansfield Ashfield) - £2,544 per annum
- Derwent Rural Counselling Service - £17,546 per annum
- Bakewell Tia Chi
- Tideswell Tia Chi

The Council also funded (with the local CCGs), a Voluntary Sector Development Budget to support new voluntary sector groups with their development through support with start-up costs. The current value of Adult Care's contribution to this fund was £3,914 per annum and in light of the Council's new £2.3m Community Priorities Fund to support the promotion of

their Thriving Communities priority, it was proposed that the Adult Care contribution to the Development Budget be discontinued.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the outcome of the consultation in respect of proposals to cease grant funding to Voluntary Sector organisations;

(2) continue funding for services listed in Appendix 6 for 18 months to commence on 1 October 2016 with agreements to end on 31 March 2018, subject to review and further consultation if appropriate; and.

(3) discontinue Grant funding following a three month notice period to:

- Relate (Chesterfield and North Derbyshire)
- Relate (Derby and Southern Derbyshire)
- Relate (Greater Manchester South)
- Cruse Bereavement Care (Mansfield Ashfield)
- Derwent Rural Counselling Service
- Bakewell Tai Chi
- Tideswell Tai Chi
- Voluntary Sector Development Budget

**272/16      EDUCATION PLANNING FOR HOUSING GROWTH IN SOUTH DERBYSHIRE** (Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services reported on the outcome of a consultation held in early 2015 on the possible locations for a new secondary school in South Derbyshire and to seek approval to identify and submit sites for a new secondary school to South Derbyshire District Council for allocation in the South Derbyshire Local Plan Part 2. Details of the consultation exercise and a summary of responses was presented in the report.

The analysis of the assessment results showed that Thulston Fields was the preferred site for the new school and it was recommended that the County Council seek a notification of the site in this location. It was also proposed that the site be notified at Lowes Farm as the second best location to emerge from the assessment, as this site could provide a location for a new school in the event that the scheme of Thulston Fields should not gain planning approval, or in the event that the extensions to existing schools assumed in the assessment scenario, did not go ahead.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the feedback from the consultation; and

(2) approve the requesting of a notification of Bolton Moor/Thulston Fields and Lowes Farm as locations for new secondary schools in the South Derbyshire Local Plan Part 2.

## **273/16      UNACCOMPANIED ASYLUM SEEKING CHILDREN (UASC)**

(Children's Services) The Strategic Director – Children's Services reported that an interim national UASC Transfer Protocol had been created to enable the safe transfer of UASC from one UK local authority to another UK local authority from 1 July 2016. The interim Protocol formed the basis of agreement made between local authorities to ensure a fair distribution of UASC children across all local authorities and all regions. It was intended to ensure that any local authority did not face an unmanageable responsibility in accommodating and looking after unaccompanied children pursuant to its duties under Parts 3, 4 and 5 of the Children Act 1989, simply by virtue of being the point of arrival of a disproportionate number of UASC, and, in doing so ensure that all appropriate services were available to UASC.

The Protocol set a ceiling on the numbers of UASC any local authority would be expected to accept which was 0.07% of the child population. There were currently twelve UASC young people in Derbyshire but were Derbyshire to reach the specified 0.07% cap, this would entail approximately an additional 97 young people. The report provided further detail in respect of placement capacity, social work/case work capacity and structure, independent reviewing officer capacity, advocacy and children's rights service, health needs, educational needs, care leaving support, project planning, community cohesion and Ofsted.

Caring for UASC was likely to cost the Council a significant but currently indeterminate amount of money, above what the Government would fund on an on-going basis. This was despite the Government's significantly increasing the funding grant. The current funding rates were insufficient to make the true cost of caring for the children unless they were placed with in-house foster carers. The overall pressure to the Authority would very much depend on the type of support each young person required. Appendix 1 to the report set out the impact on the budget position depending on the different types of placement available with costs being broken down by weeks. Funding from Central Government would cover in-house fostering placements but there were currently very few of these available. On-going pressures remained within Children's Services concerning children in care and any further pressures would have a significant impact on the budget as a whole and consequently a growth bid was required to meet these additional placement costs.

Pump-priming costs, as detailed in the table below was required to enable staff to be in place and reduce the usage of more costly agency staffing:



<b>Worker</b>	<b>Mid pt cost per FTE incl. on costs 30.6%</b>	
<b>Team manager</b>	Grade 13	£52,686
<b>3 children's social workers</b>	Grade 9 – 11	£37,105
<b>1 fostering social worker</b>	Grade 9 – 11	£37,105
<b>IRO</b>	Grade 13	£52,686
<b>Team Co-ordinator</b>	Grade 8	£28,750
<b>MAT Personal advisor</b>	Grade 10	£36,243
<b>2 x Education Support Officers</b>	Grade 9	£31,841
<b>Plus £5k per person for IT, travel etc. but excluding accommodation ~£50K</b>		

The total budget required for staffing over the next five financial years was estimated at £1.786m and the additional cost to the Authority, net of Home Office Grant Funding based on the assumptions detailed in the report, was £9.496m. The planning assumptions made would be reviewed taking into account factors such as timing and number of UASC, their needs profile and any possible increase on the current 0.07% cap.

There was no provision to meet the above net costs from within the Children's Services Departments existing Revenue Budget and subject to the actual numbers of UASC arriving in Derbyshire, the cost of support would be the subject to budget bids to the Council as part of the future years' Revenue Budget setting process. In addition, Cabinet was asked to meet the net cost of UASC in 2016-17 over and above the Government's Grant, from its General Reserves.

**RESOLVED** to (1) confirm that Derbyshire County Council would accept UASC in line with the national UASC transfer protocol 2016-17;

(2) ensure that provision was made for the new demands of UASC for the next five years;

(3) note the estimated cost of provision for the above period of £9.496m with review in 2018;

(4) agree that the cost of UASC in 2016-17, over and above the Government grant, be funded from the Council's General Reserve;

(5) agree to consider the implications of the additional costs in respect of UASC as part of future revenue budget processes;

(6) approve the establishment of the new posts set out in the report;

(7) approve the establishment of a joint communication strategy;

(8) approve further exploration of the commissioning options for fostering and supported accommodation; and

(9) work with the Local Government Association and other organisations to lobby Government for full funding of the costs of UASC.

**274/16 DRIVER FOR WINTER MAINTENANCE** (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought Cabinet approval to establish a Council framework for the engagement of agency drivers to assist in the provision of a winter maintenance service across Derbyshire.

The Department was actively seeking sufficient volunteers to assist in the implementation of precautionary salting and was conscious of the existing contractual requirement for employees to participate in out of hours activities, an issue which was being addressed with employees and the trade unions. However, it was anticipated that up to a further twenty drivers might be needed to meet the requirements, including some to cover in times of absences.

A framework agreement was proposed to enable agency drivers to be engaged when required with appointments being made from the framework on the basis that the agency drivers who were engaged via these arrangements, would be available to undertake winter maintenance duties outside normal working hours. A procurement exercise had been undertaken to establish the proposed framework, further details of which were presented in the report. Four tenders had been received and it was recommended that the award of lots be approved as detailed in below.

<b>Company</b>	<b>No. of Drivers</b>	<b>Lots Awarded</b>
Joule Brady Ltd	7	1, 2, 3
Peter Byard Plant Hire Ltd	2	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
Wheelplant Ltd	10	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
Barry Wood Plant Hire	3	1, 3

**RESOLVED** to approve the award of a framework for the engagement of agency drivers/drivers mates to provide a winter maintenance service, as detailed in the report, for a period of five months to commence on 1 November 2016.

**275/16 AMENDMENT TO THE 2013-14 CAPITAL PROGRAMME – RENISHAW FLOOD ALLEVIATION SCHEME** (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought Cabinet approval to amend the 2013-14 Capital Programme to increase the approved budget for the Renishaw Flood Alleviation Scheme from £15k to a maximum of £127,684, to undertake a detailed options appraisal as requested by the Environment Agency.

Approximately 130 residential properties and 20 non-residential properties in Renishaw were at a significant risk of flooding with many properties suffering flooding on a number of occasions in the last ten years. On 10 September 2013, Cabinet approved a report to receive Local Levy grant to undertake a study which looked at a range of potential options for the community. In 2013, a consultant was commissioned to undertake the flood study, the outcome of which was the identification of potential long-term mitigation options for further investigations/options analysis, details of which were presented in the report.

Due to the likely outturn cost of the potential solution (currently projected as being in the region of £1m to £1.5m), the Environment Agency had advised that a detailed options appraisal would be required and that this would also require a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) Survey to be completed to understand what lay beneath the sub-surface in the vicinity of the proposed flood relief culvert. The report detailed the additional costs associated with the options appraisal and GPR survey.

**RESOLVED** to (1) approve the receipt of Environment Agency grant funding (Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Grant Aid and Local Levy) of £60k, provided in respect of the Renishaw Flood Alleviation Project;

(2) approve the amendment to the Capital Programme 2013-14 to increase the budget of the Renishaw Flood Alleviation project from £15k to a maximum of £127,684; and

(3) note that costs associated with delivery of the preferred option would be the subject of a future report.

**276/16      PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE OPENING HOURS AT THE DERBYSHIRE RECORD OFFICE** (Health and Communities) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought approval to undertake a consultation on options to reduce opening hours at the Derbyshire Record Office, which dependent on the outcome of the consultation, might result in proposals for a revised staffing structure reflecting any changes in hours that were agreed. Details of the proposed consultation, including details of the Equality Impact Analysis were presented in the report.

**RESOLVED** to approve that (1) a six week consultation on options to revise opening hours at the Derbyshire Record Office be undertaken;

(2) the results of the consultation and Equality Impact Analysis be presented to a future meeting of Cabinet prior to any decision being made on the changes to the opening hours at the Derbyshire Record Office; and

(3) subject to the outcome of the consultation, proposals for a revised staffing structure at the Derbyshire Record Office be developed and reported to Cabinet for consideration.

**277/16      DERBYSHIRE INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PLAN**

(Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought approval for work to commence on developing a revised approach to infrastructure investment planning through a new Derbyshire Infrastructure Investment Plan (DIIP).

**RESOLVED** to (1) agree that work commences on developing a DIIP in line with the principles detailed in the report; and

(2) approve that a further report detailing in the proposed contents of the DIIP be brought to a future Cabinet meeting for approval.

**278/16      DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS PROTOCOL** (Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities sought approval to the Developer Contributions Protocol and to agree to its subsequent publication and dissemination across partner organisations. A copy of the protocol was attached at Appendix A to the report.

**RESOLVED** to (1) approve the updated Developer Contributions Protocol (September 2016) as detailed at Appendix A of the report; and

(2) agree the subsequent publication and dissemination of the document to key partners.

**279/16      D2 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME – PROPOSALS**

(Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities updated Cabinet on progress regarding the D2 (Derby and Derbyshire) Business Development Programme and sought approval for up to £270k revenue contributions towards the revised project proposals.

At the Cabinet meeting on 3 November 2015, approval was given to provide £325k match funding over three years towards the delivery of the D2 Business Development Programme Project. Working in Partnership with, and led by, Derby City Council, total match funding of £525k was confirmed (included £200k from the City Council), which enabled a full application to be submitted for funding through the D2N2 (Derby, Derbyshire, Nottingham and Nottinghamshire) European Structural and Investment Fund (ESIF) as part of the Regional Development Fund Priority Access 3 strand. The total cost of the proposed project was £1.05m.

The D2 Business Development Programme offered a unique enterprise support/pre-start up programme consisting of tasters, workshops and one to one coaching sessions to help local entrepreneurs generate embryonic business plans for the launch of the new enterprises. The project would support 300 potential entrepreneurs and 59 enterprises through information, diagnostic and brokerage support. Overall the objective was to create 120 new enterprises in the D2 area, directly tackling the current lower than average level entrepreneurship, boosting economic resilience and helping re-balance the economy in favour of the private sector growth.

In order to progress the project, a procurement exercise had been undertaken whilst awaiting an ERDF funding decision, to secure a delivery body. The exercise tested the market demand for such an intervention and had also allowed the project to commence delivery promptly should approval for ERDF support be secured.

Eight organisations had responded to the procurement exercise and following the evaluation, the preferred bidder was East Midlands Chamber Limited. Pre-contractual discussions had taken place with the preferred supplier on a without prejudice basis, subject to receiving Cabinet approval. Due to the delays at national level regarding European funding post-referendum, the project remained in appraisal and a final decision had been delayed. Furthermore, ESIF stipulated a minimum project value of £1.1m in order to qualify for ERDF support, whereas the tendering exercise indicated that the market could deliver the project outputs for around half the anticipated costs.

In order to prevent further delay and to enable a commencement of delivery, a revised proposal was now presented which, given the significant reduction in costs and lack of confirmation from Government on funding, was to contract with the preferred supplier and progress delivery of the project without ERDF support. Based upon the costs quoted by the East Midlands Chamber Limited, the tender project would deliver the original outputs proposed, whilst reducing the Council's funding requirement from £325k to a maximum £270k. The Council could therefore secure delivery of the same project for less money and with greater flexibility without ERDF funding.

**RESOLVED** to (1) approve the revised approach to delivering the previously agreed D2 (Derby and Derbyshire) Business Development Programme and the continued commitment of match funding to the programme;

(2) note the reduction in the funding contribution from £325k to £270k, which would result in a saving of £55k from the planned spend in the General Reserve;

(3) approve the award of a contract to East Midlands Chamber Limited to deliver the revised project as set out in the report, with Derby City Council being the Contracted Authority for the project;

(4) authorise the Chief Executive to enter into any subsequent partnership agreement with Derby City Council in order to deliver the project.

Councillor P J Smith (in the Chair)

**280/16      TRADE AND INVESTMENT PROMOTION FOR DERBYSHIRE**

(Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget) The Strategic Director, Economy, Transport and Communities sought Cabinet agreement to the development of a new Council strategy for attracting increased inward investment and supporting economic growth across the County, for a financial contribution to support representation and activity at the forthcoming Midland Engine Trade Delegation to China and also to agree a review of the overall staffing and financial resources targeted at supporting this activity.

The challenges facing the UK and the local economy and the Council's current approach to place marketing and attracting inward investment required review and the time was right for the economic development function to develop a more comprehensive approach to stimulating demand and increasing inward investment with businesses, sites and communities across the County which would subsequently raise business rate income for the County Council and its district/borough council partners.

It was therefore proposed that a new Strategy be developed, setting out the Council's comprehensive approach to identifying local "supply side" opportunities, developing a strong Derbyshire narrative through input from district/borough councils and increasing demand for investment from other regions in the UK and globally. In this way, the Council's ambitions could be secured and the wider investment opportunities across the County could be supported.

It was proposed that the Council's Economic Development Service lead the development of the Strategy, supported by senior officers from the D2 (Derby and Derbyshire) Economic Development Officers Group. Delivery and implementation of the Strategy would be challenging given the current financial constraints. However, it was proposed that the on-going review of the Economy and Regeneration Service be used as the vehicle for assessing and aligning appropriate resources.

Within the emerging activities to support increased inward investment on a region-wide basis, a ministerial led trade mission had been organised by the Government's Trade Promotion Agency, UKTI. The mission, from 30 October to 5 November 2016, was a high profile, multi-sector delegation

including business and civic leaders, which would showcase trade and investment opportunities and expertise.

To build upon Derbyshire's specific links with China, it was proposed that a Derbyshire business and civic delegation visit Anhui Province on 1 and 2 November 2016. The proposed focus on the Derby and Derbyshire offer for the mission would be economic development, technology and innovation, knowledge exchange, tourism promotion and twinning. Partners were already working on the appropriate marketing content, including the development of a targeted "Derbyshire Pitch Book" which would include major strategic projects across the city and County, a heritage asset investment portfolio of major development opportunities relating to Derbyshire's tourism offer and enhanced tourism promotion for the purposes of the visit, focussed on the Chinese market. It was recommended that a budget of £15k be approved to support the cost of the proposed delegation and provide a partnership contribution to the preparation of marketing and promotional material for the visit.

In addition, it was also proposed that two Senior Economic Development Officers be appointed on a two year temporary basis to support development and early implementation of the Strategy, working to the Head of Economic Development. Details of the financial resourcing of these temporary posts would be subject to a separate Cabinet report.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the significant economic challenges facing the UK and businesses in Derbyshire post referendum and the pending financial challenges for local government as part of business rate retention proposals;

(2) agree the preparation of a comprehensive Investment and Trade Strategy to marshal the Council's economic development and activity to drive growth;

(3) approve that the Leader of the Council and up to two senior officers provide representation from the County Council to take part in the proposed Midlands Engine Trade Delegation to China from 30 October to 5 November 2016;

(4) approve a budget of £15k to support the development of a quality marketing product for opportunities within the County to promote new trade and investment activity as a response to Brexit, and to help meet the cost of the proposed delegation to China;

(5) note that the on-going service review in Economy and Regeneration would consider the availability of resources, both within the Council and in collaboration with key partners, to more effectively deliver inward investment activity and trade promotion for Derbyshire; and

(6) note the proposed increase in temporary officer resources to support development and implementation of the Strategy which would be subject to a further report to Cabinet setting out the requirements and proposed activity, with clear performance and output for these posts being developed and linked to the value of inward investment attracted.

Councillor A Western (in the Chair)

**281/16      COUNTRYSIDE SERVICES VISITOR CENTRE REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS** (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure). The Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities reported on the outcome of the public consultation on the future of Tapton Lock and Hayfield Visitor Centres and sought approval for revised operating arrangements arising from the exercise.

On 26 January 2016, Cabinet approved consultation on proposals within two reports dealing with the restructuring of the Countryside Service. As a result Cabinet authorised officers to open dialogue with external parties in relation to alternative delivery models and commercial opportunities at the Centre, together with public consultation concerning the potential changes at these Centres. Details of alternative delivery models and commercial opportunities, the consultation review, quantitative analysis, qualitative analysis and consultation conclusions were presented in the report.

Neither Tapton Lock Visitor Centre nor Hayfield Visitor Centre was currently covering their overheads through income generation. Both Centres had some potential for greater income generation, subject to further investment and improved marketing. However, there were insufficient resources available to do this at both locations.

In the short to medium-term, the already well established partnership working with the Chesterfield Canal Trust acted to further benefit the marketing of Tapton Lock and to increase dwell time and hence spend, in the Visitors Centre when visitors access boat trips. There was also potential to increase income, estimated at £15k per annum, from the increased boating and lease of moorings on the Canal by 2019 – 2020.

In consideration of these income streams, there was a strong argument to maintain Visitor Centre operations at Tapton Lock to enable these streams to be developed. In order to meet the cuts allocated to the Countryside Service, gap funding in the order of £16,900 per annum would need to be secured from 2017 – 2018 to cover the current shortfall in the budget until the income potential was realised. This cost could potentially be met from the balance of the 2015 – 2016 allocated underspend within Economy, Transport and Communities Department. This would allow the revenue cut to be made in 2017 – 2018, whilst protecting the Centre in the short-term until it was able to generate sufficient income to cover its costs.



Although also contingent upon external factors, the on-going restoration of the Chesterfield Canal and the regeneration of Chesterfield Waterside would each act to increase the audience and market for Tapton Lock in coming years and further increase in potential.

Income at Hayfield had the potential to be increased, primarily from exploiting the outdoor tourism market, although it was noted that the current visitors were typically accessing the wider countryside and village facilities. Opportunities to increase visitor dwell time had yet to be developed, with the County Council's Sett Valley Trail only providing opportunities to a limited audience. Considerable investment would be required to increase the scope for income generation at Hayfield. However, in the light of the current budget pressures, it was not feasible for the County Council to make any further investment, so it was therefore recommended that the Countryside Service cease to operate Hayfield Visitors Centre at the end of the 2016 – 2017 financial year which would enable the Director of Property to commence negotiations with alternative operators.

The principal benefit for visitors to Hayfield was the use of the car park, a facility which served local communities, walkers and visitors to the village. As this was available on a 24/7 basis it was less dependent on Visitor Centre opening hours. Income from parking charges amounted to £19,500.00 in 2015 – 2016 and was separate to the Centre itself and it was proposed that it should continue to provide a means of maintaining access to, and management of, the Sett Valley Trail. Options to find a suitable tenant/partner to operate from the Hayfield Centre had been considered and discussions had been held with suitable tenants. The Director of Property had confirmed this potential, subject to a commercial market rate being agreed which would act to further to offset costs to the Countryside Service on top of the direct cost savings. The closure of Hayfield Visitor Centre or letting to other parties would incur some limited additional cost and issues such as relocation of affected staff to alternative operational bases would be considered as part of the wider Countryside Service Review.

**RESOLVED** to (1) note the outcome of the public consultation on the future of Tapton Lock and Hayfield Visitors Centres;

(2) agree to continue to pursue income opportunities at Tapton Lock and to maintain opening of Tapton Lock Visitor Centre, subject to annual review and to pursue income generating opportunities in order to establish a financially self-sustained operation;

(3) approve the use of unallocated Departmental underspend up to £33,800 in order that revenue budget cuts could be achieved, whilst income streams were developed at Tapton Lock by 2018 – 2019;

(4) approve that the closure of Hayfield Visitor Centre on 31 March 2017;

(5) authorise the Director of Property to market the Hayfield Visitor Centre to interested parties to realise rental income and maximise social value; and

(6) agree that as a result of any changes at Hayfield Visitor Centre, to relocate the Trumper hire service, subject to agreement, to an alternative local location, or failing that, to an alternative Countryside Service site where demand had been demonstrated.

**282/16**      **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC** **RESOLVED** that the public, including the Press, be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the remaining items on the Agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of exempt information detailed in the following Summary of Proceedings.

**SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC HAD BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING**

1. To receive declarations of interest (if any).
2. To consider minority group leaders questions (if any).
3. To receive the Exempt Minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 26 July 2016.
4. To receive the Exempt Minutes of Cabinet Member meetings as follows:-
  - (a) Strategic Policy, Economic Development and Budget – 25 July and 15 August 2016.
  - (b) Children's Services – 9 August 2016.
  - (c) Health and Communities – 26 July and 23 August 2016.
  - (d) Adult Social Care – 20 July 2016.
  - (e) Council Services – 11 July, 1 August and 22 August 2016.
5. Report of the Director of Public Health on children affected by substance misuse of others (Health and Communities) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
6. Report of the Strategic Director – Children's Services on residential care – children in care provision (Children's Services) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
7. Report of the Strategic Director – Children's Services on resourcing the Complex Inquiry Team (Children's Services) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).

8. Report of the Strategic Director – Children’s Services on Traded Schools Careers Service (Children’s Services) (contains information likely to reveal the identity of any individual).
9. Report of the Strategic Director – Children’s Services on the report on the Youth Offending Review (Children’s Services) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
10. Report of the Strategic Director – Children’s Services on the Framework of Providers – Specialist Services for Disabled Children, Young People and Young Adults (Children’s Services) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
11. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the vacation of Duffield Depot (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (contains information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations matters arising between the Authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under, the Authority).
12. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on road markings and Studding Contract (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
13. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the Waste Management Services Restructure (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (contains information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matters arising between the Authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of or office holders under, the Authority).
14. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the creation of a new Conservation, Heritage and Design Service (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (contains information relating to any consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations matters arising between the Authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of or office holders under, the Authority).
15. Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Communities on the revised proposals for the restructure of the Countryside Service following informal consultation with staff and trade unions (Highways, Transport and Infrastructure) (contains information relating to consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations matters arising between the Authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of or office holders under, the Authority).