

**MINUTES** of a meeting of **CABINET** held on 13 March 2012 at County Hall, Matlock.

## **PRESENT**

Councillor A I Lewer (in the Chair)

Councillors J A Allsop, J P Harrison, C W Jones, B Lewis, M V Longden, K L Parkinson and S A Spencer.

Councillor S Flitter also attended.

An apology for absence was submitted on behalf of Councillor C A Hart

### **Declarations of Interest**

Councillors J P Harrison and C W Jones declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 11(g) on Elvaston Castle and Country Park Members Working Party as members of the South Derbyshire District Council planning Authority (Minute no. 90/12 refers).

## **65/12      PRESENTATION ON DERBYSHIRE'S BUILDINGS AT RISK**

Barry Joyce, Conservation and Design Manager and Allan Morrison, Principal Historic Buildings Officer gave a presentation to Cabinet on the work undertaken between 1975 and 2012, on Derbyshire's historic buildings at risk.

Both Barry and Allan were due to retire in the near future and the Chairman thanked them for their many years' service to the Council and wished them both a long and happy retirement.

## **66/12      ISSUES RAISED BY THE MINORITY GROUPS**

Councillor S Flitter raised the following:

Agenda Item 7(b) – Self Assessment – There are many challenges currently facing the Council including budget reductions and modernising care services. Reference in the report to the Council's carbon footprint is only in general terms and is about reducing costs to the Council. How is the Council going to measure and evaluate the progress in reducing its carbon footprint and chart the way forward in order that we can be sure of the Council's commitment?

Agenda Item 7(c) – Derbyshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy Development: Proposed High-Level Priorities – Many authorities have been addressing health inequalities for years. What is new and what are we striving to achieve?

Agenda item 7(f) - Farnsley Lane, Stoney Middleton – Repair of Flood Damage – Has the finance for the repair work been secured? If not, should this not be the first step and then to seek to return any outstanding balances?

Agenda Item 7(g) - Housing and Fire Safety - Is it intended to include this important issue in Derbyshire First in order that the Derbyshire public can make representations in their own social environment?

Agenda Item 7(i) – Derbyshire Performance Premium – The proposal was to use part of the Dedicated School Grant underspends for one-off grants. The report states, however, that the underspends will be required in the future to compensate for falling school rolls and a reduction in the Grant itself. Should the Council reward the writing of action plans rather than investigate the deeper rooted problems?

**67/12**        **MINUTES RESOLVED** that the non-exempt minutes of the meetings of Cabinet held on 20 February 2012 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

**68/12**        **CABINET MEMBER MEETINGS RESOLVED** to receive the non-exempt minutes of Cabinet Member meetings as follows:-

- |     |                        |   |                  |
|-----|------------------------|---|------------------|
| (a) | Education              | - | 6 February 2012  |
| (b) | Finance and Management | - | 10 February 2012 |
| (c) | Leadership and Culture | - | 13 February 2012 |
| (d) | Public Health          | - | 13 February 2012 |
| (e) | Highways and Transport | - | 20 February 2012 |

**69/12**        **COUNCIL PERFORMANCE AND IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK** The Chief Executive sought Cabinet approval for the Council's new Performance and Improvement Framework. Over the past year there had been key changes in the national performance management arrangements for Local Government with key bodies including the Audit Commission and the Regional Government Offices being abolished.

The Government's intention in removing these nationally prescribed frameworks was to reduce the burden of inspection and reporting on local authorities to enable them to focus on service delivery. Both the Localism Act 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework set out a series of measures to shift power away from Central Government towards local people. These measures provided the framework for the Council to work in partnership with District, Borough, Parish and Town Councils to enable neighbourhoods to drive forward improvements to services and their local area. It was therefore important that the Council had robust performance

management arrangements in place and developed its own system of regulation and improvement.

To reflect the greater freedom to determine local performance arrangements, a new Council Performance and Improvement Framework had been developed, a copy of which was attached at Appendix A to the report. The purpose of the Framework was to drive service improvement and to ensure a consistent and robust approach to performance management throughout the Council. To support local accountability, it emphasised openness and transparency and as there was less inspection and external review, the Framework promoted self-awareness and challenge.

A significant development was the introduction of an annual Self-assessment and the development of an annual Improvement Plan. As well as outlining key successes over the previous twelve months, the Self-assessment would identify key challenges for the forthcoming year and the Improvement Plan would show how identified challenges would be addressed and how progress would be measured. The Framework also placed an increased emphasis on service reviews as a means of improving service effectiveness.

Under the new Framework, departments would review their own performance each quarter and Cabinet would receive the report on performance every six months. The Council would report progress to local people in summary form in November and in greater detail through the annual report at the end of each financial year. To demonstrate to local people that the Council was using public resources in the most effective and efficient way, easily and accessible performance information would be produced annually for a wide range of key stakeholders.

It was proposed that the arrangements set out in the new Framework would be fully implemented from April 2012 onwards and work was now taking place with departments to identify measures and information which would form the substance of the performance report.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the Council's Performance and Improvement Framework be approved; and

(2) further reports on progress and implementing the Framework be presented to Cabinet in due course.

**70/12      SELF-ASSESSMENT 2011** The Chief Executive sought Cabinet approval for the Council's self-assessment 2011 including key challenges for the forthcoming year. A key element of the Council's new Performance and Improvement Framework was an annual self-assessment, the purpose of which was to ensure that the Council evaluated how well it was doing overall

and whether it was concentrating on the right things. Four themes were examined:

- How effectively the Council was leading the way for public services in Derbyshire;
- How effectively and efficiently the Council was using its resources;
- How well services were performing (outputs);
- How well the Council was engaging with local people and responding to their needs (outcomes).

The self-assessment had been undertaken for the first time in 2011 and was attached at Appendix A to the report. In future years the self-assessment would be undertaken in the Autumn of each year so that it could inform the planning cycle, the Council plan, five year financial plan, and departmental service plans when they are updated. A key outcome from the self-assessment was the identification of key challenges facing the Council for the forthcoming year and these challenges would form the basis of the Council Improvement Plan which showed how each challenge would be addressed and how progress would be measured.

The self-assessment 2011 showed that the Council was continuing to deliver on its priorities and as a result there had been improved outcomes in Adult Care, pupil attainment, road maintenance, waste management and community safety. Progress had been achieved in the climate of reduced funding with the County Council managing its resources well and whilst the work force had reduced, priority front line services had been protected. There were key challenges facing the Council including further budget reductions, reducing the Council's carbon footprint, targeting and supporting challenging families, implementing the personalisation agenda, integrating the Public Health Services and further improving Waste Management. Details of the key changes were presented at Appendix B to the report.

Following Cabinet approval of the self-assessment, further work would be undertaken to develop the Council's Improvement Plan 2012/13. The Improvement Plan would be brought to Cabinet in May 2012 and progress against the plan would be reported in December 2012 and June 2013.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the Council's self-assessment 2011 and key challenges for the forthcoming year be approved; and

(2) a report on the Council Improvement Plan outlining how the key challenges would be addressed and how progress would be measured be presented to Cabinet in due course.

**71/12      DERBYSHIRE HEALTH AND WELLBEING STRATEGY**  
**DEVELOPMENT: PROPOSED HIGH LEVEL PRIORITIES**      The Strategic

Director – Policy and Community Safety updated Cabinet on the development of the Derbyshire Health and Wellbeing Strategy and outlined the consultation process on the proposed high level priorities. Derbyshire Shadow Health and Wellbeing Board had made progress on the development of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy with the main focus of the work being to agree a small number of high level priorities around which the full strategy could be developed. The priorities identified needed to be those that had clear benefits from joint action by the different agencies represented on the Board and must be linked with clear outcome indicators in order to ensure progress could be monitored.

The statutory Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) provided the key evidence that would drive the priorities for the Health and Wellbeing Strategy and other plans, strategies and priorities that identify local health needs, had also been reviewed to identify the appropriate priorities. As a result of this process, a proposed list of high level priorities had been drafted and presented to the Shadow Health and Wellbeing Board in January. The draft list of priorities were attached at Appendix A to the report.

The high level priorities would now be consulted on more widely with a range of stakeholders including local people, district councils, LINKs, clinical commissioning groups and other partners. The consultation period also provided a further opportunity for the County Council to comment on the proposed priorities which had also been presented to the Improvement and Scrutiny Committee - People.

Following the consultation process the high level priorities would form the framework around which the full Health and Wellbeing Strategy would be developed. That would be sought throughout the process in line with existing consultation processes and the Shadow Health and Wellbeing Boards emerging Stakeholder Engagement Plan. This work would be closely linked with the on-going development/refresh of the Derbyshire Joint Strategic Needs Assessment and with emerging commissioning plans and partner organisations in the 2012/13 financial year. The final strategy would be published in June 2012 to ensure that it was a core part of the planning cycles for the 2013/14 year and in the future.

**RESOLVED** that (1) Cabinet endorsed the overall high level priorities for the Health and Wellbeing Strategy; and

(2) further reports be received on the developments of the Health and Wellbeing Strategy in due course.

**72/12      EXPENDITURE OF COMMUNITY ARTS BUDGET 2012/13** The Strategic Director – Cultural and Community Services sought approval for the

allocation of the 2012/13 grant aid to community arts groups in Derbyshire. It was proposed to allocate funding to the community arts groups as follows:

<b>Organisations</b>	<b>Requested</b>	<b>Awarded 2011/12</b>	<b>Recommended for 2012/13</b>
Buxton Festival	£6,000	£5,723	£5,723
Orchestras Live	£6,227	£6,227	£6,227
First Movement	£24,925	£24,925	£24,925
Fleet Arts	£18,006	£18,006	£18,006
High Peak Community Arts	£19,500	£18,006	£18,006
Junction Arts	£16,000	£15,200	£15,200
Learning Through Arts	£30,000	£16,028	£16,028
People Express	£17,000	£16,323	£16,323
Wash Arts	£5,000	£3,642	£3,642
Small Arts Grants	£24,412	£24,412	£24,412
Arts Festival Grants	£10,000	£10,000	£10,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>£177,070</b>	<b>£158,492</b>	<b>£158,492</b>

There were two organisations which were granted funding the previous year and which were not included in the grant programme for this year. There had been two organisations working for the arts across the whole County: The Derbyshire Arts Partnership and the Derbyshire Arts Development Group. It was noted that these two organisations had been dissolved and a new single strategic partnership named Arts Derbyshire had been established. County Council's former grants and subscriptions to both organisations were now paid directly to the programmes of work undertaken.

**RESOLVED** that the allocation of grant aid to community arts groups in Derbyshire for 2012/13 be approved as detailed above.

**73/12      SCHOOLS LIBRARY SERVICE CHARGES** The Strategic Director – Culture and Community Services sought approval to implement revised charges for the Derbyshire and Derby Schools Library service. A service had been established in 1997 to serve schools from both Derby City Council and the County Council and to support their pupils' educational learning and literacy needs.

The current charges were attached at Appendix 1 to the report and the proposed revised charges for 2012/13 were detailed in Appendix 2. Appendix 3 included a proposed extension of the service to other institutions and groups to maximise the potential revenue which was a policy change.

**RESOLVED** that the revised charges for the Derbyshire and Derby School Library Service for 2012/13 be approved as detailed in Appendix 2 and 3 to the report.

**74/12      FARNSLEY LANE, STONEY MIDDLETON, REPAIR OF FLOOD DAMAGE** The Strategic Director – Environmental Services informed Cabinet about the condition of Farnsley Lane, Stoney Middleton and the proposals for future maintenance and to request the creation of an additional Capital Budget Scheme for the repairs.

Farnsley Lane was a non-classified rural road which was single track with a steep gradient and linked to the A623 at Middleton Dale with Moisty Lane, Cavendish Mill, Stoney Middleton. In 2007, the settlement lagoon attached to the then Glebe Mines' facility at Cavendish Mill overflowed and caused a torrent of water to flow down Farnsley Lane, ultimately ending up in the village of Stoney Middleton via the carriageway of A623 Middleton Dale and the adjacent water-course. The flow of water caused the under mining and scouring of the existing carriageway, lifting parts of the asphalt surface and eroding others, including a retained section of carriageway. The structural damage was such that the road was destroyed completely and remained closed, even to pedestrians.

The Loss Adjuster for Glebe Mines' insurers had accepted liability for the emergency response, subsequent clean-up and repairs to the local infrastructure, including the repair of Farnsley Lane and a scheme for the reconstruction to bring it back to its original condition was currently with the County Council's design consultant with a view to construction in the summer of 2012, subject to approval.

The current estimate for the cost of the work was in the region of £115,000 and the Council would seek to recover all the costs incurred from the insurers. Any costs that the County Council could not justifiably recover would have to be met from the Highways Maintenance Budget.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the proposal to reconstruct Farnsley Lane, Stoney Middleton be noted; and

(2) this work be added to the 2011/12 capital programme.

**75/12      HOUSING AND FIRE SAFETY** The Strategic Director – Environmental Services reported on measures to assist the Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service (DFRS) to achieve the installation and sprinkler systems and associated infrastructure in domestic properties.

In November 2011, DFRS wrote to the County Council setting out a number of objectives to address this issue. The County Council had responded recognising the importance of the issue setting out the Council's

commitment to supporting DFRS with these objectives. The report provided proposals in respect of planning policy, planning applications, communications and lobbying and retro-fitting existing housing.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service's request that it is notified of consultations on emerging planning policy, including Core Strategies and all pre-application discussions and planning applications for new housing developments be supported;

(2) the on-going discussions regarding the creation of a fund to support the programme of work to fit sprinklers in existing properties across Derbyshire be noted with further reports to be brought back to Cabinet on this issue in due course;

(3) the intention of the Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service to submit a response to the current consultation on the draft Derbyshire Infrastructure Plan to request that the final Plan better reflects the need to build well-designed housing that addressed safety and the needs of vulnerable people be noted and welcomed;

(4) the intention of the Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service to submit a response to the current consultation on the draft Developer Contributions Protocol to request that the final Protocol included an example condition and suggested local planning authorities use that condition to require 32mm mains water riser to be installed as part of new housing developments be noted and welcomed;

(5) the commitment of the Cabinet Member for Regeneration to lobby the development industry on the issue of fire safety in new housing development, be noted; and

(6) note the Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service's proposals to lobby the social housing sector on the issue of fire safety and existing residential properties, be noted.

**76/12      NEW CHURCH GRESLEY INFANT AND NURSERY SCHOOL – PROPERTY ISSUES** Cabinet considered a joint report of the Strategic Director, Children and Younger Adults and the Director of Property which sought approval to exercise compulsory purchase powers if necessary, to acquire land adjacent to the site of the proposed Church Gresley Infant and Nursery School to facilitate vehicular access to the site.

The final trench of funding necessary to build the replacement Church Gresley and Infant and Nursery School was approved by Cabinet in March 2011. An application had been submitted for planning permission for the construction of the proposed school which provided for access to the school to be taken from the school drive of the neighbouring Pennine Way Junior



School. Planning Officers had responded positively to the proposal for access to be taken for the existing school drive, but the proposed route goes over land upon which a footpath is sited and it has not been possible despite making extensive enquiries to identify the owner of this land. It was proposed therefore to seek to exercise compulsory purpose powers to acquire the land although a temporary access could be secured to enable the building of the new school prior to the finalising this permanent access.

The Authority would bear the costs involved in securing the making, confirmation and implementation of the Compulsory Purchase Order. If no public enquiry was held this would be dealt with exclusively in-house, there would be no external costs payable. If a public enquiry was necessary the Council would need to meet all the costs associated with the enquiry including the Inspector's fee, the cost of the venue and any advice or representation from Counsel in relation to the enquiry. These costs were likely to be in the range of £10,000 - £20,000. If the owner of the land could be traced or they came forward during the CPO process, the Council would be liable for the payment of compensation.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the taking of all necessary steps to secure the making, confirmation and implementation of a Compulsory Purchase Order to acquire the footpath adjacent to the site of the new Church Gresley Infant and Nursery School be approved to enable access to the new school site, including the publication of all the notices and the presentation of the Authority's case at any public enquiry;

(2) the taking of all reasonable steps to establish who owns the land and, if identified, negotiating with the owners of the land to acquire the land by agreement in advance of confirmation of the Compulsory Purchase Order be approved on the basis that no contractual commitment be made without prior approval of the Cabinet Member for Finance and Management;

(3) the agreeing terms with objectors for the withdrawal of objections to the Order be approved, including where appropriate seeking exclusion of land from the Order; and

(4) in the event that the Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults or the Director of Property consider that any major adjustments to the land to be acquired were necessary to the Compulsory Purchase Order and plan, these should incorporate the necessary amendments.

#### **77/12      DERBYSHIRE PERFORMANCE PREMIUM – KEY STAGE 4**

The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought approval to the creation of a 'Derbyshire Performance Premium' from the centrally held element of the 2011/12 dedicated schools grant to support closing the attainment gap and raising standards in Derbyshire's maintained secondary schools at key stage 4.

In analysing Derbyshire's data there were three key challenges to address when compared against the national picture, namely; English and Maths attainment and progress; closing the gap for vulnerable groups and the English baccalaureate results. Although the English baccalaureate was not a qualification in its own right, it does include many of the key subjects that were a significant feature of the Ofsted framework. Lower performance in any of these key subjects would therefore affect not only schools' English baccalaureate percentage but also their potential Ofsted outcome.

The prime responsibility for raising standards lies with individual schools. However, budgets are somewhat tighter than previous years and students had one main chance of achieving their potential. Key outcome 3 (improved attainment by all children at all ages) in the Children and Younger Adults approved service plan included the following action;

- Improve the attainment of all children at the end of each key stage;
- Improve rates of progress for vulnerable groups, including children entitled to free school meals;
- Improve attainment and quality of provision in targeted priority schools who had progress and attainment below expected rates.

It was proposed that a Derbyshire performance premium be introduced to help maintained schools with key stage 4 pupils raise standards. The premium would offer schools up to 20k on submission of a brief plan showing how this funding would be utilised to raise standards and attainment for key stage 4 this year. The premium was only available to Derbyshire maintained schools as academies had already taken a share of these budgets on conversion. Successful bids would have to demonstrate how the premium would support the key performance challenges.

Potentially bids for funding which would be for one year only, could be received from 33 maintained secondary schools and 10 special schools. The maximum that any school could be awarded would be £20k hence the maximum total one off cost would be £860k. The central dedicated schools grant was forecast to underspend at the end of 2011/12 by £6m and the cost of the proposal for 2011/12 could be met from within existing dedicated school grant budgets.

**RESOLVED** that Cabinet approves a Derbyshire Performance Premium for 2011/2 to support schools with Key Stage 4 pupils as set out in the report.

**78/12      SCHOOLS' BUDGET 2012/13** Cabinet considered a joint report of the Strategic Director for Children and Younger Adults and the Director of Finance which sought approval for the allocation of funding to schools in 2012/13. At its meeting on 10 January 2012, Cabinet agreed to implement a

number of changes to the LMS formula for 2012/13 following consultation with schools and the schools forum, details of which were presented in the report.

It was highlighted that their per pupils values at key stages three, four and post 16 as detailed in Appendix 1 were slightly different to those presented to Cabinet in January. The figures in January reflected the delegation of the High Performance Specialist Schools funding on the basis of the number of pupils aged 11 – 16 when the intended delegation was based on the 11 – 18 pupil count.

The schools' funding settlement, although better than other parts of the public sector, was tighter than previous years' and was likely to remain the case for the foreseeable future. The challenges brought about by the national settlement would be compounded locally by falling roles, increased SCN and free school meal entitlement pressures and the impact of the formula changes beginning in April 2012. The table below details the dedicated school grants pressures and savings with further details being presented at Appendix 2 to the report. The difference between the loss of the dedicated schools grant income, £2,307k, and the net saving from formula allocations £514k was a pressure of £1,793k.

It was proposed that a further allocation of £500k be made representing a small contribution to schools' costs generally. The sum equated to either £1,200 per school or alternatively around 4.80 per pupil. It was proposed that this sum be delegated by a pro rata increase in the pre-16 pupil (mainstream, places, special schools) and block allowance multiplier. Taken together the measures include the loss of grant formula and formula savings would require a transfer from the central dedicated school grants budget of £2,293k. Forecasts central dedicated school grant underspend for 2011/12 based on spending to December 2011 was £5,380k and this level was expected to continue in 2012/13. The proposed transfer into the ISB was therefore affordable.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the current position with regard to schools' funding be noted;

(2) the delegation of funding for specialist schools on the basis of the number of pupils aged 11 – 18 be confirmed;

(3) the funding of pressures as detailed in the table above be approved;

(4) the delegation of £500k of further funding to schools as detailed in the report be approved; and

(5) the transfer of resources from Central Budgets to the ISB to cover the loss of dedicated schools grant and other pressures as detailed in the report be approved.

**79/12      DEVELOPMENT FOR PROVISION FOR POST-16 STUDENTS WITH LEARNING DIFFICULTIES AND DISABILITIES AT HOPE VALLEY COLLEGE**

The Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults sought Cabinet approval for the County Council to enter into a partnership agreement with Hope Valley College to secure provision for Post 16 students with learning difficulties and disabilities at the academy.

In April 2010 the Council assumed responsibility for many of the aspects of the work previously undertaken by the Learning and Skills Counsel. One of these responsibilities was to determine appropriate educational replacements for young people and adults with complex learning difficulties and disabilities, including the use of independent specialist placements. Work had been undertaken to stimulate the development of high quality local opportunities for Derbyshire residents which reflected the County Council's commitment to meet the needs of disabled young people and adults in the County and to ensure that its resources were used as effectively as possible. From discussions with families and disabled young people it was clear that they would like to see the following characteristics of any educational provision that was developed in Derbyshire.

- It is able to respond to an individual's needs; in other words developed through the use of person centred approaches.
- Is part of an integral "package" which also addresses the young person's care needs and leisure interests.
- Has a clear sense of progression leading to the realisation of the young person's ambitions.
- Is purposeful.
- Provides equity of access across the County.
- Sees the young person as a part of the local community.
- Is age-appropriate.

The model that was emerging, which would meet these criteria, was to have a series of coordinating hubs throughout the county. Staff would be charged with refining implementing and reviewing the persons plans which would have been developed as part of the transition pathway. The plans would address all aspects of the young person's life and the provision would be drawn from a menu of preferred providers. It was likely that some specific elements of the education revision would be delivered at the hubs.

Hope Valley College was an academy for 11-16 year olds and was a school which had been recognised by both parents and the local authority as one which effectively met the needs of disabled young people and those with severe learning difficulties. The opportunities in the area for young people for learning difficulties and disabilities to continue their studies and move towards adulthood once they had finished year 11 were extremely limited and so younger people and their families had felt that they had needed to look 'outside' the County for independent specialist placements.

The proposal was for the academy to develop a learning hub, on the site of the college, for disabled young people aged 16-18 years and deliver foundation learning. One of the key roles of the hub would be to co-ordinate the learning and wider experiences for the young people drawing upon high quality opportunities available in the area provided by other schools, further Education Colleges, adventure centres, employers and training providers. These relationships would be essential in supporting young people as they moved onto the next stages of their lives after leaving Hope Valley.

The proposal was based on an invest to save model and as it had been calculated that if the 9 students, who had been identified as potentially benefitting from the provision, were unable to take up this option the cost would be no less and possibly considerably more than £500,000 per annum. This reflected the cost of an independent specialist provision and also the cost of a place in a maintained special school. This level of saving would be replicated in subsequent years giving the severity of the need of the prospective future co-horts of students.

The annual cost of the hub based on 24 FTE students was estimated at £431,000 significantly below the £500,000 estimated cost of alternative provision for the 9 students. The pro-rata costs for the period September 2012 – March 2013 based on 9 students was estimated at around £180k including a £30,000 contingency to meet any one off set up costs. It was proposed therefore that an earmarked reserve of £180k be created to allow the proposed development to commence from September 2012 and this would be funded from the forecast 2011/12 CAYA underspends. The on-going costs from April 2013 would be met from the funding transferred to the Authority as detailed in the report.

A capital budget of £350,000 had been identified for this project from the 2008/10 CAYA Capital Programme (Capital Modernisation Fund).

Currently funding of post 16 placements with independent specialist providers rested with the Young People's Learning Agency. However, from April 2013, the funding and responsibility for such placements was scheduled to transfer to local authorities. Whilst the proposed investment would take

place in advance of this transfer, there was a strong case for creating a provision to reduce the revenue costs from September 2012.

**RESOLVED** that (1) the County Council enter into a partnership agreement with Hope Valley College to secure provision for the 2012/13 academic year for post 16 students with learning difficulties at the academy;

(2) the sum of £180,000 be set aside from the 2011/12 underspend as an earmarked reserve to meet the costs of the new facility for the period September 2012 to March 2013;

(3) if the funding from the Young People's Learning Agency was not transferred to the Local Authority for April 2012, the Cabinet Member for Education receives a further report to consider the funding implications to end of 2012/13 academic year; and

(4) a capital allocation of £350,000 be made for this project to be funded from the 2008/10 CAYA Capital Programme (modernisation) utilising the funding released as detailed in the report.

**80/12**      **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC** **RESOLVED** to exclude the public from the meeting during the consideration of the following items to avoid the disclosure of exempt information.

**SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC HAD BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING**

- (1) Confirmation of the exempt minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 20 February 2012.
- (2) Receipt of the exempt minutes of Cabinet Member Meetings as follows:
  - (a) Education - 6 February 2012
  - (b) Finance and Management - 10 February 2012
  - (c) Highways and Transport - 20 February 2012
- (3) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Cultural and Community Services on the extension of the contract for the Library Management System (Contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
- (4) Consideration of the joint report of the Director of Finance and the Director of Transformation on the contract for the supply of an e-Payments Solution and Associated Support Services (Contains

information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information)

- (5) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Environmental Services on the Waste Contract Default Payment – future use (contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of a particular person, including the Authority holding that information)
- (6) Consideration of the report of the Strategic Director – Children and Younger Adults on the proposed restructuring of the Catering Service Management Team in the Children and Younger Adults Department (Contains information relating to consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the Authority and employees of the Authority).
- (7) Consideration of the report of the Director of Human Resources on Occupational Physician Services (contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).
- (8) Consideration of the report of the Director of Human Resources on the contract for the supply of First Aid Training (Contains information relating to financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information)
- (9) Consideration of the joint report of the Director of Property and the Director of Legal Services on Elvaston Castle and Country Park Members Working Party – Strategic Options Appraisal Report (Contains information relating to financial or business affairs of any particular person, including the Authority holding that information).