

MINUTES of a meeting of the **CABINET MEMBER FOR JOBS, ECONOMY AND TRANSPORT** held on 21 October 2014 at County Hall, Matlock.

PRESENT

Cabinet Member – Councillor J E Dixon

Also in attendance – Councillors A Botham, D Collins and S A Spencer.

153/14 **PETITIONS** **RESOLVED** (1) to receive the under-mentioned petitions:-

Location/Subject	Signatures	Local Member
Wirksworth Junior School – Save Our School Crossing Person	507 (85 ipetition 422 paper petition)	Councillor I Ratcliffe
Clay Cross, Furnace Hill Road – Request for Traffic Calming	42	Councillor B Wright
Killamarsh, Rotherham Road – Request for Speed Reduction Measures	180	Councillors D Charles and B Ridgway
Thornsett School, New Mills – Save Our School Crossing Patrol	67	Councillor B Atkins

(2) that the Wirksworth lead petition organiser be advised that a future meeting of Cabinet was to consider whether or not to consult on changes to the School Crossing Patrol service; and

(3) that the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Environment be asked to consider the matters raised in the Clay Cross and Killamarsh petitions.

154/14 **MINUTES** **RESOLVED** that the Minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Member for Jobs, Economy and Transport held on 30 September 2014 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Cabinet Member.

155/14 **PETITION CONCERNING BUS SERVICES IN CLOWNE VILLAGE**
Following the receipt of a petition regarding bus services in Clowne and a request to meet with the County Council and Stagecoach, a meeting took place on 23 July 2014.

The meeting agreed that representatives from Clowne would form a group and approach the Bolsover Partnership about its public transport group and about forming a bus users forum for the area; the group would consider the use of Section 106 funds and any Department of Transport funding streams; and Stagecoach would review the Service 77 timetable to see if the Clowne departure times could be made more conducive to Gold Card use.

RESOLVED (1) to notes the discussions that have taken place and the progress in developing a better understanding of the issues and opportunities that may be available for public transport in the Clowne area; and

(2) that the local Member and lead petitioner be provided with a summary confirming the actions taken and the outcomes of the meeting.

156/14 PETITION FOR DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL TO EXTEND LOCAL BUS SERVICE 80 TO INCLUDE LODGE DRIVE, WINGERWORTH

Lodge Drive was served by the commercial TM Travel bus service 95 until July 2013 when the service was withdrawn by the operator because it was not viable and was now served by Service 61 that operated to and from Clay Cross and enabled onward travel by other services. For direct travel to Chesterfield the nearest service 80 bus stop was on Longedge Lane.

Stagecoach has been approached regarding extension of service 80 via Lodge Drive, however they have stated that this could not be achieved without other sections of the route being omitted.

To provide a service to include Lodge Drive would require a new service and this would not be viable due to the proximity of existing bus services.

RESOLVED that (1) the request for an extension to Bus Service 80 to include Lodge Drive, Wingerworth should not be pursued; and

(2) the local Member and lead petitioner be advised of the decision.

157/14 PETITION FOR DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL TO REINSTATE THE FORMER LOCAL BUS SERVICE 13 FROM MAPPERLEY TO ILKESTON

A petition has been received requesting the reinstatement of local bus service 13 from Mapperley to Ilkeston.

Service 13 was part of a package of contracted local bus services in the Ilkeston area and when the contract for this service was re-tendered by the Authority, service 13 was withdrawn due to low passenger usage.

Three alternative services, 59, 11 and Black Cat, which pass Mapperley Crossroads could be used by Mapperley residents. All operate to a tight timetable and it would not be possible to divert them to Mapperley without disrupting the current timetables or rerouting away from other areas of far greater passenger

demand. Community Transport was available for those who could not make their way to Mapperley Crossroads.

The Cabinet Member allowed local residents, Mr D Flint and Ms E Campbell, to address the meeting. The village had no local amenities and had a large proportion of elderly residents. It was felt unreasonable to expect these residents to walk to Mapperley Crossroads for a bus. Community Transport called to the village once a week, however it only catered for 12 people and had luggage restrictions. Ms Campbell concurred with Mr Flint's comments.

The Cabinet Member stated that the Authority had finite funds and suggested that Mapperley Parish Council contacted Community Transport to discuss the villages usage needs.

RESOLVED that (1) the request to reinstate local Bus Service 13 be refused; and

(2) the local Member and lead petitioner be informed accordingly.

158/14 RESIDENTS' PARKING SCHEME – MATLOCK AREA

A consultation exercise to re-investigate the feasibility of a Residents' Parking Scheme on streets surrounding the County Council and Derbyshire Dales District Council has been undertaken. A letter and questionnaire was distributed to all residents over an extensive area to establish whether the public view and appetite for a Residents' Parking Scheme area had changed over recent years.

Approximately 1,800 questionnaires were delivered and 717 responses received. 140 were in favour of a residents' parking scheme and 577 were not. There were mixed views from the roads closest to County Hall. Only 7 responses were received from Hopewell Road, 4 in favour and 3 not in favour, of the 20 responses from Smedley Street East, 10 were in favour and 10 against and Bank Road with 6 in favour and 5 not in favour.

Demand and support for such a scheme still remained low and there would be insufficient revenue raised from the sale of permits to cover the costs of administering and enforcing a scheme in Matlock. Schemes which were currently operating in this way in other locations in Derbyshire were self-financing.

The Cabinet Member allowed local residents, Ms S Bradshaw, Mr I Clarke and District Councillor S Burfoot, to address the meeting. It was considered that that the consultation was too broad brush, however it was necessary to move forward from this situation with constructive discussions. Inconsiderate parking was not acceptable to residents and it was suggested that junctions in the area be protected with double yellow line markings. Further suggestions included an electronic spaces sign at the entrance to County Hall car park; liaison with local public transport providers, enforcement of conditions of car share scheme, residents to report inconsiderate parkers to County Council for action to be taken and Park and ride scheme.

It was confirmed that parking in close proximity to junctions and blocking accesses was enforceable by the Police. The County Council was a responsible employer and it was agreed that any realistic options forwarded by residents would be looked into.

RESOLVED that the scheme to re-consider Residents' Parking in the Matlock area be abandoned due to the lack of support.

159/14 A "LOCAL LIST" OF REQUIREMENTS FOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED TO DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

In order to establish a clear and consistent set of basic information requirements to accompany planning applications the Government introduced a 'national list' of requirements in April 2008. Provision was made for local planning authorities to publish their own 'Local List' of additional requirements to supplement application forms.

A Derbyshire Local List of requirements for planning applications was implemented on 1 October 2011 and has been reviewed and updated. A draft revised Derbyshire Local List was considered by the Regulatory – Planning Committee 7 April 2014 and an extensive consultation exercise was then undertaken with the public, statutory consultees, district, borough, parish and town councils in the County, and all local authorities bordering Derbyshire. The proposed changes were submitted to the Regulatory -Planning Committee on 6 October 2014 and approval was now sought for adoption of the Local List.

As a consequence of changes to relevant guidance and regulations, during the two year period from its adoption to the next review date, minor amendments to the Local List would be required and authorisation was sought to make these amendments. It was intended that this would generally only apply to the information set out in columns entitled 'Driver' and 'Further Guidance' in the List, and would not be used to make significant amendments to the detail of the requirements or to add or remove any requirements.

RESOLVED to (1) approve the adoption of a final Local List of requirements for planning applications, as set out in Appendix B to the report, for implementation from 21 October 2014; and

(2) approve the request to make subsequent minor amendments to the Local List that are considered necessary as a consequence of changes to relevant guidance and regulations during the two year period from its adoption to the next review date.

160/14 CHESTERFIELD COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY CHARGING SCHEDULE – PROPOSED CHANGES

The County Council has been consulted by Chesterfield Borough Council (CBC) on a set of proposed changes to the evidence base of the Chesterfield Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule.

At the hearing session for the Examination of the CIL the Planning Inspector queried the calculations involved in appraising residential and retail viability, and the assumptions made by CBC about what developers would fund via Section 106 planning obligations once the CIL was in place. With the approval of the Inspector, CBC was now consulting on a set of proposed changes to the CIL evidence base. The changes included a revised Regulation 123 List that would guide how CIL was spent; updated viability appraisals; and revised assumptions about Section 106 planning obligation rates for residential development.

RESOLVED to approve the County Council's response in support of the proposed changes to the Chesterfield Community Infrastructure Levy.

161/14 EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC RESOLVED that the public, including the press, be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the remaining item on the agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of exempt information detailed in the following summary of proceedings:-

SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC, INCLUDING THE PRESS, WERE EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING

1. To consider the exempt Report of the Strategic Director – Economy, Transport and Environment on Community Rail Partnerships 2015-16 and 2016-17 (contains information relating to any individual).